

030887

15-2-27

072887



079887

THE THEOSOPHIST

International illustrated Theosophical Monthly, royal octavo, 96 pages

CONTENTS—DECEMBER 1914

	PAGE
soitch-Tower	193
vin of Richard Wagner	CLEMENT A. HARRIS. 201
of of Theosophy	L. W. ROGERS 215
be of Essenism	DR. RAIMOND VAN MARLE. 227
bay Ceremonial	THE REV. F. G. MONTAGU 243
fe	POWELL, M. A. 247
bltist and The Mystic	E. A. WODEHOUSE, M. A. 256
vin Bondage (Poem)	EVA M. MARTIN. 257
wd Theosophy	T. H. MARTYN 266
c The Great Peace	JAMES L. MCBETH BAIN 275
ndence	

s:

Kashmir Shaivism

nent

iv

no
 all the
 It is not
 (and Principal
 [English] have

BUDDHISM

Two Books by the Bhikku Silacara

Each As. 6 or 6d. or 12c.

FOUR NOBLE TRUTHS

PANCHA SILA: THE FIVE PRECEPTS

The fact that the author is a western adds a
interest to these books.

Education and Theosophy

Transaction of the Educational Conferences.

I. At Benares, 1909

CONTENTS : Education and the Theosophical Society (the
which led to the calling of the Conference
G. S. Arundale, M.A., LL.B., Principal of the
College, Benares; Education in India, by Purna
Narayan Sinha, M.A., B.L.; A Review of the Mod-
System of Education in India, by M. Subram-
Iyer; The Scope and Function of Private En-
prise in Education in India, by Iqbal Nara-
Gurtu, M.A., LL.B., C. H. College, Benares; S-
Hints on Female Education, by P. Naray-
Iyer, B.A., B.L.

II. At Adyar, 1910

CONTENTS : Education in the Light of Theosophy, by M.
F. Arundale, Principal, C.H. Girls' School, Benares;
The Education of Emotion from the Standpoint of
Theosophy, by Professor B. Sanjiva Row, B.A.
C.H. College, Benares; How the Idealism of Fichte
Helped to Conquer Napoleon in 1813 and 1814, by
Miss H.S. Albarus, B.A.; The Teaching of Samskri-
by Professor P. K. Telang, M.A., LL.B., C. H. C.
Benares; Education and Discipline, by Iqbal
Narayan Gurtu, M.A., LL.B., C. H. College, Benares.

Each As. 8 or 1s. or 25c.

Publishing House, Adyar, Madras, India

19- THE PEOPLE'S BOOKS

School
Lodge
ma co
canno
their
good c

THEOSOPHY

BY

ANNIE BESANT

As. 6 or 6d. or 12c.

of T
ous l

some contents:—

pear I Introduction

so t II Theosophy as Science

vill III Theosophy as Morality and Art

of y IV Theosophy as Religion

V Theosophy applied to Social Problems

VI A Few Details about Systems and Worlds

VII The Theosophical Society

but An excellent little book to give to persons interested
bou in the Theosophical movement as a whole. Theosophy is
fou here treated in a new way. The plan of the book is not
bel like any other in our literature.

wi “Mrs. Besant explains the principles of Theosophy, which
w she declares is not a new thing but is in all religions. Each
religion has its own special note, its own contribution to the
forces working for the evolution of man, and the aim of the
Theosophist is to blend the spiritual values of all into
harmonies of the Divine Wisdom. Mrs. Besant is
advocate, and her gifts enable her to make out him-
case for her beliefs.”—*The Northern Whig*. (Be) i-relig-

THEOSOPHICAL PUBLISHING

ADYAR, MADRAS, [English] have

Mrs. ANNIE BESANT

ON

TOPICS OF THE DAY

The Changing World

Rs. 2-4 or 3s. 6d. or 90c.

Lectures to the Public

1. The Deadlock in Religion, Science, and Art.
2. The Deadlock in Social Conditions: Luxury and Want Face to Face.
3. The New Doors opening in Religion, Science, and Art.
4. Brotherhood applied to Social Conditions.
5. The Coming Race.
6. The Coming Christ.
7. The Larger Consciousness and Its Value.
8. The Place of Theosophy in the Coming Civilisation.

Lectures to Theosophical Students

1. The Sixth Sub-Race.
2. The Immediate Future.
3. The Catholic and Puritan Spirit in the Theosophical Society; The Value and Danger of Each.
4. The Sacramental Life.
5. Address on White Lotus Day, 1909.
6. The Nature of the Christ.
7. The Theosophical Student in Face of Revelation, Inspiration, and Observation.

The Immediate Future

Rs. 2 or 2s. 6d. or 65c.

- LECTURES :
1. Impending Physical Changes.
 2. The Growth of a World-Religion.
 3. The Coming of a World-Teacher.
 4. Social Problems: Self-Sacrifice, or Revolution?
 - Religious Problems: Dogmatism or Mysticism?
 - The Emergence of a World-Religion.
 - England and India.

ICAL PUBLISHING HOUSE

VAR, MADRAS, INDIA

School, he has settled a free Primary School in the old Lodge building. Further, he has established a Pañchama colony on land belonging to the Trust, whence they cannot be ejected, and has started there a School for their children. So on the whole, Madanapalle owes a good deal to Mr. Wood and the Trust.

*
* *

M. Gistucci, a Judge at Sousse, in the Province of Tunis, gives some interesting details about a mysterious light which is seen in Bocagnano, a Corsican village, some 40 kilometres from Ajaccio. It suddenly disappears when an observer comes within about 600 yards, so the exact spot has not been fixed, and is said, by village tradition, to have been seen for some hundreds of years. One thinks at once of some will-o'-the-wisp, but there is no marsh nor stagnant water in the neighbourhood, and some engineers, who tried to examine it, found no reason for its existence. The villagers like to believe the traditional account of its origin: There was a wicked Count, a tyrant, proud and bad. The priest waited for his return from hunting one day, and as he came not he began the benediction service. The Count arrived just after he began, and furious that he had been waited for his arrival, drew his sword and cut type, down. Thunder and lightning followed the sacrifice—this act, and the earth opened her mouth in an excited, and swallowed up the evil-doer. But the charged him-burning in the sanctuary, remained "to his semi-religious generations of the Justice of are familiar no old-world tale may not attract many religion. It is not but as the local peasant would say: vicinity and Principal light."


*
* *

"We [English] have

Dr. Haden Guest and his band of workers are doing splendidly with the Red Cross ; four more hospitals will, ere this, be in France, making six in all. Now has been formed the Anglo-French Hospitals Committee ; in this wise was it born : "The scheme had to be submitted to a high official. He read it, and wrote : 'I fully concur,' and said : 'Form your Committee this afternoon'... and we did." Mr. Davies, whom many in India will remember, has gone off to Serbia, on Red Cross work—poor gallant little Serbia, in danger of being crushed by the Austrians.

* *

It is very doubtful whether the Theosophical World-Congress, which was to be held in Paris in 1915, will take place, as all arrangements—which have to be made far in advance—have necessarily been stopped by the War. So also it is doubtful if delegates can be found, in the East and in the Continent of Europe, to travel to San Francisco for the proposed Conference on Religious Philosophies. It is certain that we shall not be able to gather any delegates from India, Burma, and Ceylon for such a time. Every one, who is not very rich, is straitened by the circumstances of the War, and will scarcely be able to meet the heavy expense of a journey to San Francisco. We doubt the wisdom of trying to hold an International Congress on Religious Philosophies, as the representatives of the great eastern faiths cannot meet it, and the gathering would be confined to western thought alone. Representatives from Bombay, Madras, and the American Continent.



THE RELIGION OF RICHARD WAGNER

By CLEMENT ANTROBUS HARRIS

THE drama in its beginnings was in all countries serious and usually semi-religious in tone. In the Miracle Play and "Mystery," the mediæval equivalent of the drama—in which, as in its Greek prototype, music often played an important part—this religious element was even more pronounced. But in later times from both the spoken drama and the opera—a new type, the germ of which was the Greek music-drama—this serious element had for the most part disappeared.

The poet-musician Richard Wagner charged himself as a life-mission with the restoring of this semi-religious character. As a result, his works are familiar not only to lovers of music and the drama over half the world, but to students of ethics and religion. It is not a theatrical critic but a Doctor of Divinity and Principal of a Theological College who says: "We [English] have

no sort of idea either of the vast religious thoughts underlying his [Wagner's] creative work, or of the religious mission which finally came to dominate his amazing activity.¹"

It is, of course, the religious message contained in his operas, rather than the creed of the composer himself, which is of importance to the world at large. Despite his twelve volumes of letterpress containing, in the opinion of Nietzsche, pages which are among the finest prose in the German language, there is probably not one student who reads his dramas to a hundred thousand who witness them.

But though Wagner attached an importance unprecedented in the history of opera to the text of his works, he and his commentators are never tired of proclaiming that their deepest significance and innermost meaning are only to be learned from the music. He believed that Art—poetry, painting, but above all, music—was a truer interpreter of the soul than the State² could ever be, and that it could penetrate beyond the reaches of dogma.

One might say that where religion becomes artificial, it is reserved for Art to save the spirit of Religion by recognising the figurative value of the mythic symbols which the former would have us believe in their literal sense, and [by] revealing their deep and hidden truth through an ideal representative.³

Music reveals the inmost essence of the Christian religion with definition unapproached. Music stops all strife between reason and feeling.

But, above all possibility of concrete thought, the Tone-Poet-Seer [Wagner is especially referring to Beethoven and his last four symphonies] reveals to us the Inexpressible: we divine, nay, feel and see that this insistent world of will is also but a state that vanishes before the One: "I know that my Redeemer liveth."⁴

¹ Dr. Forsyth, *Religion in Recent Art*.

² He is dealing with State Establishment of Churches.

³ Introduction to Chap. I of *Religion and Art*. Ellis' translation.

⁴ *Religion and Art*, Chap. III.

Nevertheless Art is as dependent on Religion as Religion on Art. The "ideally creative force" of the plastic art "diminished in exact proportion as it withdrew from contact with religion".

Wagner was far too creative, too independent a man to be content with mere negations and carpings at other peoples' conception of Religion. He tells us distinctly what was his own. "Religion's basis," he maintains, "is a feeling of the unblessedness of human being, of the State's profound inadequacy to still the purely human need. Its inmost kernel is denial of the world—i.e., recognition of the world as a fleeting and dream-like state reposing merely on illusion—and struggle for Redemption from it." "The artist, too, may say of himself 'My kingdom is not of this world'—and I may say this of myself."

The outstanding characteristic of the poet-musician's religion is its abstract or metaphysical quality. Extremes meet. And this man who would have united all arts—nay, almost all human activities—in that theatre which the Puritan mind regarded as the sink of iniquity, was singularly at one with the Puritan mind in its distrust of the formal element in religion. But he carried his distrust further, for he applied it to dogma, and in some respects to what is now known as the "historicity" of the gospels. His objection to dogma, however, is less a denial of a doctrine itself than a keen sense of the utter inadequacy of words to convey religious truth. One or two examples of his treatment of Christian doctrine will explain this:

Belief devised the necessary miracle of the Saviour's birth by a *mother* who, not herself a goddess, became divine through her virginal conception of a son without human

contact, against the laws of nature. A thought of infinite depth, expressed in form of miracle . . . the mystery of motherhood without natural fecundation can only be traced to the greater miracle, the birth of the God Himself: for in this the Denial-of-the-World is revealed by a life prefiguratively offered up for its redemption.

Or take his view of the Crucifixion:

The very shape of the Divine had presented itself in anthropomorphic guise; it was the body of the quintessence of all-pitying Love, stretched out upon the cross of pain and suffering . . . In this, and its effect upon the human heart, lies all the spell whereby the Church soon made the Graeco-Roman world her own.

A metaphysical interpretation does not mean that religion is unpractical.

Life is earnest, and has always been. In every age . . . this life and world have spurred great hearts and spacious minds to seek for possibility of its bettering.

The truly religious knows he cannot really impart to the world on a theoretic path, forsooth through argument and controversy, his inner beatific vision, and thus persuade it of that vision's truth: he can do this only . . . through *example*—through the deed of renunciation, of sacrifice.

This most austere of all lessons, the necessity for renunciation—a favourite one of the Buddha—meets us even in Wagner's only "comic" opera, the *Meister-singers*, where it is typified in Hans Sachs: so impossible was it for the master to free himself from his ingrained seriousness of purpose!

It may be objected—and often has been—that Wagner's own example was not free from grave blemishes. He was wholly without the modesty proverbially characteristic of genius, and singularly ungrateful. Despite his anti-militarism, he is said to have simply gloated over the appalling sufferings of the Parisians in 1870—they having, for political reasons, hissed his *Tannhauser* off the stage in 1861. He wrote to Liszt: "It seems as if the whole German war were made merely to assist me to my goal."

He has often been taunted too with his claim that to create works of imagination he must live in luxury. But as with others of his maxims, Wagner the man is the best refutation of Wagner the theorist. His first step off the path of conventionality, *The Flying Dutchman* was due to his seeking consolation from dire poverty and despair by giving vent to his soul's aspiration without a hope, almost without a wish, for its success. The title page of the score bears the inscription: "In darkness and adversity. Per aspera ad astra. God grant it. R. W." Physical comfort was neither behind him nor before. *The Ring* was written under much the same despair of so vast a work ever being produced. Of the refusal of Wigand even to print it, Wagner wrote Uhlig: "May God be praised! He is wiser than I." An exclamation not more devout than prophetic, for the refusal proved to be a blessing in disguise. And if he fell short, even far short, of his own ideal, is there any gospel, all of whose preachers would be well-advised to cast the first stone?

Nor does the metaphysical character of Wagner's religion preclude the dominance of certain ideas, or even their coagulation into doctrines. The most prominent of these is the need of the world for redemption. It meets us again and again both in his books and in his operas.

"We recognise," he says, "as our basis the fall and corruption of historical humanity as well as the necessity of its regeneration. We believe in the possibility of this regeneration, and we devote ourselves to carrying it out in every way." "The deepest foundation of every true religion is to be found in its expression of

the world's corruption, and the way it points to release from the same. To bear this in upon the dense, natural man requires a superhuman effort, in which respect we discern the sublimest feature of Christianity with its deepest truth of salvation revealed to the poor in spirit."

In a letter to Liszt, December, 1854, he writes of his hero Schopenhauer's "fundamental idea, the ultimate negation of the Will to Life," as "of terrible gravity, but solely redemptive". In the "Programmatic Elucidation" to the overture of his first characteristic opera he wrote :

The Flying Dutchman's dreadful ship scours along storm-driven; it makes for the land and lays-to where its master has been promised to find salvation and redemption. We hear the pitying strains of this annunciation of salvation, which sounds to us like prayer and lament. He . . . is doomed to rove the ocean desert for treasures that afford him no satisfaction, and never to find what alone could redeem him. . . . From the depth of his misery he calls for redemption.

The overture to his next opera, *Tannhauser*, portrays mankind "redeemed from the curse of unholiness; . . . thus move and leap all the pulses of life to the song of redemption".

The Buddhist legend, which in 1856 was so suggestive to him that he had to repress his desire to take it up that he might finish the *Nibelungen*, was *Die Sieger*, (The Victors) which he interprets as meaning "supreme redemption".

Wagner's way of accounting for the degeneration of the human race will be found in Chapter II of his *Religion and Art*, and will strike many as grotesque. He acquired a Buddhistic sense of the sacredness of all life, animal as well as human. And he attributes the Fall from a higher to a lower state to changed physical

conditions having obliged mankind to resort to the slaying of animals for food. As a consequence, while retaining his love for the Fatherland and regarding his art as largely a national mission, he abandoned patriotism in the conventional, aggressive sense; became strongly anti-militarist,¹ vegetarian, and anti-vivisectionist. He suggests that a vegetarian principle underlay Christ's instruction to His disciples to remember Him only in Bread and Wine.

In regard to the means of Redemption, Wagner declares that "the regeneration of the Race can take root only in the deep soil of a genuine religion". As to what this religion must be, he would seem at one time to agree with the orthodox Christian theologian:

The Founder of Christianity was no sage; He was divine. to hope for Redemption was to seek union with Him.

At another time he seems to have in his mind an amalgam of Buddhism and Christianity:

When I was obliged to recognise. an escape from this life, through self-annihilation, as the Redeemer, I reached the fountain-head of all modern conceptions of this condition, namely the human Jesus of Nazareth. . . . I endeavoured to give vent to my stirred frame of mind, with the sketch of a drama, *Jesus of Nazareth*.²

This was in 1848. The work was to have been a spoken drama; feeling that his true vocation was musical, and for other reasons, the drama was never completed, but parts were subsequently incorporated in *Parsifal*.

¹ An evolutionary development, for, as a youth, he showed a keen zest in duelling. And as late as in his thirty-sixth year he is said by some to have actually fought at the barriers on the revolutionary side in the rising of May, 1849, but of this there is some doubt. It was for his share—chiefly fiery speeches and pamphlets—in this movement that he was exiled for over eleven years.

² Published by Breitkopf und Haertel, 1888.

Despite this somewhat nebulous frame of mind, one idea dominates Wagner's whole conception of the means of Redemption. This is Love, the "Great Thing" he calls it. "Everything else is not worth a brass farthing, no matter how high and sublime it may call itself." In regard to nothing is he so insistent and persistent, throughout both his books and his dramas. "The lovelessness of the world," he once wrote, "is its real sorrow."

In the earlier operas the redeeming factor is a human love. The Flying Dutchman will be redeemed if he can find a woman willing to sacrifice love and life to save one lost soul. Senta proves to be such, and achieves her end. Tannhauser typifies the struggle of a soul through a tempest of sensual passion to ideal love. But the agent of this love is a human one—Elizabeth, an ideal of womanly purity, etherealism, and selfless devotion. In *Lohengrin* the relative position of the sexes is reversed, but though more subtle than *Tannhauser*, and without its presentation of grosser elements, the lesson is essentially the same. Elsa loses the mysterious Spouse who has come to her from a higher sphere, through imperfection of love. Under the evil influence of the temptress Ortrud she breaks her promise not to ask her husband's name and lineage. The lesson intended is plain enough. But the illustration given is not convincing. Personally the present writer always feels that Elsa has been unfairly treated. If perfect trust, as implied, is a condition of perfect love, *Lohengrin* violated this fundamental principle as much in withholding his name and lineage from his spouse as she afterwards did in breaking her promise never to ask him whence he came. Wagner blames her for asking

1914 THE RELIGION OF RICHARD WAGNER 209

the question "Whence," equivalent to the eternal "Why," which thinking minds apply to all phenomena. But why shouldn't a woman ask it as much as a man? See *Music of the Future*, p. 40.

In *The Ring* it is realised that in neither Man nor Woman alone is perfect human love attained, but only in their union. Writing to Rockl in 1854, Wagner says :

Even Siegfried alone [the man alone] is not the perfect Human Being : he is merely the half, and only with Brunhilde does he become the Redeemer and suffering, self-sacrificing Woman becomes finally the true, conscious Redeemer ; for Love is really "the Eternal Feminine" itself.

Of all the ethical questions in *The Ring*—the most stupendous music-drama in existence, comparable only to the Greek Trilogies—it is impossible to speak in the short space of an article. It may be said at once that the conception in it of God, "The All-Father," is that of the Scandinavian sages on which the poem is founded. Personified in Wotan it is crude in the extreme, and at times repulsive. Herein it is, however, but another example of the strange fact that, in their anthropomorphic endeavours to conceive the Supreme Being, men have shown themselves prone to draw upon the more carnal rather than spiritual attributes found in themselves! Wotan is not even faithful to his Goddess-wife, Fricka, and the only God-like quality in him is his superhuman control over the elements. Physical power and courage are the highest goal to which Gods and men can aspire!

Objection has not unnaturally been taken to the union between Siegmund and Sieglinde—brother and sister, parents of Siegfried. But it is to be remembered that they were not human beings, and that other and

classical mythologies are open to the same objection. So, indeed, is the Mosaic account of the origin of human life on the earth. Moreover Wagner, in one of his letters, explains that Wotan decrees the death of Siegmund "for morality's sake".

Wagner devotes page on page of his letters to elucidation of the more subtle contents of the huge work. His meaning is, he says, "the Representation of Reality"; "the whole poem shows how to acknowledge and yield to Necessity, Change, Variation, Multiplicity, and the eternal freshness of Reality and Life"; "Love is the only Divinity"; "the accursed Ring, forged from the Rhine-Gold, is preserved as the symbol of Love"; "we must learn to die. . . . fear of the end is only generated where Love itself is already waning".

It will surprise many readers to learn that it was before, not after, Wagner came under the influence of Schopenhauer that he wrote *The Ring*. That influence was due to the strange affinity which the great composer recognised between himself and the philosopher of pessimism. The latter expressed in words what the former had endeavoured to express in music. It has been said that one might pass from reading *The Ring* to Schopenhauer and find oneself "in a world familiar down to the catchwords of the system"¹.

It was otherwise with *Tristan and Isolde*. That is to say, Wagner himself admits that it was "the serious mood created by Schopenhauer. . . . that inspired the conception of a *Tristan and Isolde*". It is a very serious mood indeed, and one cannot call it a very wholesome one. As occasionally occurs in actual life, the hero and heroine seek to solve the problem of what

¹ Dr. Forsyth, *Religion in Recent Art*.

on earth seems a hopeless love by drinking a death-potion. Their dialogue is said to be based on a Hindū conception of life and duty, and certainly is despairing enough. To a prosaic mind the chief lesson to be learned is not that primarily intended, but the work-a-day one, that exaggerated respect for social ineligibilities and morbid secretiveness in regard to love, may easily lead to utter ruin.

That the redemptive love which Wagner had in mind so constantly was spiritual and eternal rather than carnal and temporal is shown by its realisation taking place only on the threshold of the life to come. *The Dutchman*, *Tannhauser*, *The Ring*, and *Tristan*, all end with the death of the hero and heroine; and *Lohengrin* with their temporal severance. The idea of Redemption clearly underwent an evolution in Wagner's mind, becoming stronger and, despite an ebb and flow, more and more spiritual in character. "I have got hold," he wrote to Liszt, "of two marvellous subjects. . . . Tristan and Isolde—and then—the Victory—the Crowning Sacrament—Salvation full and complete." The reference is to *Parsifal*. In this, his last and most distinctly sacred opera, this redemptive love becomes, if not Divine, at least extremely ethereal in quality.

After comparing all versions of the legend on which the work is based, Wagner chose the most spiritual, and these, as was customary with him, he still further refined. The hero, who, though himself capable of error, "to save men was selected," redeems himself, and breaks the evil spell which binds Kundry and the Knights, by a life of compassion, and especially by passing unscathed through the fierce appeal to sensuality

offered by the "Flower Maidens"—a scene drawn from the Buddhistic source already mentioned—"The Victors". Hence the somewhat enigmatic couplet with which the drama ends:

Wondrous work of mercy :
Salvation to the Saviour.

There is no appeal to the individual love of man for woman: there is no heroine. The extraordinary Temptress Kundry, "She-Lucifer, Rose of Hades, Herodias," is largely a creation of Wagner's. In the third Act she becomes the penitent Magdalene, with features added from the legendary Salome and Ahasuerus. Her frequent change of character is said to represent the Buddhistic doctrine of reincarnation. The "Flower-Maidens" of the Second Act, in whom temptation reaches its climax, do not occur in the original at all but are also taken from "The Victors."

The story of the Grail (the Cup used by Christ at the Last Supper, with which is associated the Spear used when His side was pierced) is told early in the first act; and Christian doctrines, sacraments, and associations appear throughout. Thus, sprinkling her with water, Parsifal christens Kundry:

I first fulfil my duty thus :
Be thou baptised,
And trust in the Redeemer.

Again :

The Holy Supper duly
Prepare we day by day.

The doctrine of the Knights is, of course, that of pre-reformation Christianity, though, unlike Tannhauser, no prayer to the Blessed Virgin occurs :

Trouble not! this morn the Master,
Who once did free all men from hell
When bare of defence He bled for us.
In works for Him thy guilt efface.

O thou [Titurel] who now in heavenly heights
 Dost behold the Saviour's self. . . .
 Cry now my words to Him :
 " Redeemer ! give to my son release ! "

The sign of the Cross crowns the most dramatic climax in the drama—that in which the Spear thrown by the evil-spirited Klingsor floats in the air over Parsifal's head instead of striking him. He seizes it, and tracing the sacred symbol in the air, declares : " This sign I make, and ban thy cursed Magic."

Wolfgang Golther, in his *Richard Wagner as Poet*, pronounces *Parsifal* Christian in its setting, Buddhist in its ethics. It would be truer, I think, to say that the drama is Christian with a tincture of Buddhism, particularly in its final aspect of salvation. As to Wagner's own views there need be no discussion, for his programmatic Elucidation of " The Prelude " to it is clear enough. Its two chief themes he calls " Love " and " Faith ".

Faith declares itself firmly and pithily increased, willing even in suffering. . . . occupying the human heart more and more largely and fully. . . . And now once more the plaint of loving compassion rises. The fear, the holy agony of the Mount of Olives, the divine sorrow of Golgotha and now begins to shine the heavenly blissful glow in the cup, pouring out over all that lives and suffers the joy of the divine grace of the redemption by love.

In addition to this main theme of Redemption by Love there is throughout Wagner's operas a frequent reference to other experiences of the religious consciousness. Of these perhaps the most oft-recurring is Repentance, especially in *Tannhauser* and *Parsifal*.

Biblical allusions are frequent. Indeed in the very form of the word " Parsifal " one cannot but be reminded of S. Paul's frequent teaching that " the wisdom of this world is foolishness with God," and that the apostles

were "fools for Christ's sake" (1. *Cor.*, iii, 19; iv, 10), and of the Scripture teaching as to the pure-heartedness of children. For Wagner preferred and adopted the Persian derivation of the name, *Parsi*-pure, *fal*-fool—Pure-Fool. Hence the words spoken from the Grail as instruction to the Knights :

By pity 'lightened
A guileless Fool :
Wait for him
My chosen tool.

It may be objected that the utterances of the characters in an opera are no clue to the personal views of the composer. In general this may be granted. But when the composer is also the author of the text ; when, with an openly avowed object, he invariably selects a certain class of subject, and uniformly treats these subjects in a given way—for instance, etherealising it—such a rule can hardly be said to apply. And this was conspicuously the case with Wagner.

Probably no term is more elusive of exact definition than Religion. But if it may be interpreted as a sense of the need for Redemption, the attainment of this by Divine Love, and Denial-of-the-World ; a deep reverence for Life ; and aspiration towards the Ideal—the Divine Art has had few more consistent exponents than Richard Wagner.

Clement Antrobus Harris

THE SPIRIT OF THEOSOPHY¹

By L. W. ROGERS

THE world of the physical senses is a world of delusion, and our civilisation contrives to increase the delusions that bewilder us. All our early education and training put undue emphasis upon material things. To secure a lucrative position, to accumulate a fortune, or to achieve fame, is the ideal held before youth. And the religious teaching is as bad as the rest of the teaching. It makes heaven a far-away thing like extreme old age, and gives no hint that the present life is not the highest expression of reality. Probably nothing would sound more startling to the person of orthodox religious belief than the assertion that the physical life, compared to the life beyond it, is like a dream compared to waking consciousness; that this is not the more actual and practical life but the less so, and that beyond this life lies the reality, just as business life lies beyond the school life of the child.

It is to the comprehension of this vital fact—that the physical life is but an adjunct of the real life—that the evolving Theosophist slowly awakens. In the greatest book that has been published in many years a high spiritual authority says there are only two kinds of people in all the world—those who know and those

¹ A lecture before the Annual Convention of the American Section of the Theosophical Society, at Washington, D.C., August 28, 1914.

who do not know, and that this knowledge, the knowledge of the divine plan of man's evolution, is the thing which matters; that this it is that finally leads them from the unreal to the real. Whatever else he may lack the Theosophist at least has that priceless knowledge, but his great difficulty is fully to comprehend *what* that reality beyond the physical life is.

It first slowly dawns upon him that there is something more important than material success; that material things are related closely to the lower nature, and that the accumulation of more than is necessary to meet physical needs is a species of slavery that degrades him.

Gradually, as he studies the problem of life, he realises that the law and order that are obvious in visible nature extend also to invisible nature; that a gradation of intelligences stretches upward through the vast universe; that this physical existence, with all of its multitudinous activities, is but a small part of a mighty whole and that Superman directs the evolution of man, as certainly as Courts administer legal affairs, or Governments rule a Nation. With increasing clearness he grasps the inherent reasonableness of the idea that, if evolution is a fact at all, there must be higher products of evolution than the human family. He sees that these Supermen are at an evolutionary height as far above man as we are above animals, and that, while the life they live is the real life, it is as incomprehensible to us as our life is to the horse. But that a thing is incomprehensible to a certain grade of intelligence is no evidence that it is not real and practical. What does your horse know of your life, albeit he lives even in the same world? He sees you come and go,

but he comprehends nothing of your business activities, or your social and intellectual life. What you know as the real and practical would be impracticable and visionary to him. His conception of the practical is that line of effort that produces oats and hay, and constructs comfortable stables. To him all else is impracticable. He knows nothing of the author's joy of intellectual creation, of the statesman's triumph in diplomacy, of the philanthropist's pleasure in helping, of the jurist's satisfaction in awarding justice, of the hand-clasps of friends, of the love of comrades, of the joys of the family fireside, and all the complex activities and relationships that make the sum total of human life. To you they are realities. To him they are utterly incomprehensible.

Just so it is in the difference between men and Supermen, those higher products of evolution that have gone beyond the need of bodies composed of physical matter. They must have their activities of intelligence and compassion, their far-reaching plans, their colossal duties, their gigantic achievements, their profound studies of higher spheres, their bliss of deathless friendships. That life is the reality. But to the human consciousness limited by matter and focussed on material life, it seems unreal simply because it is incomprehensible. As in the consciousness of the horse, lack of development makes the real appear to it as the unreal, and the practical as the visionary.

With the awakening of the human soul to the fact that this is but the approach to the real life comes some realisation of the vast sweep of evolution. He sees that as the earth, compared to the universe, is but a grain of sand on an endless shore, so his present life is

the merest fragment of his real life. He perceives the inter-relation of physical and spiritual things. He sees that this vast evolution, which goes on chiefly beyond the ken of the physical senses, has its agencies and methods in the material world, and that those who are marshalled within the membership of a Society like this are playing the role of a great band of servers to the race. With increasing knowledge, loyalty to that sublime ideal grows, and devotion deepens. The purpose of life has dawned. From the comprehension of that purpose the true spirit of Theosophy is born. It is the spirit of sacrifice, but it involves neither pain nor loss. It is merely the willingness to sacrifice the temporary for the permanent.

It is one thing to have a theoretical knowledge of the purpose of life but quite another thing to carry that purpose out. Our great difficulty is to put this principle, of sacrificing the immediate for the remote, into practice and shape the physical plane activities by it. It seems to be a characteristic of the limited consciousness that the plane on which it functions is, to it, the only reality—which is perhaps a necessary evil arising from the need of concentration upon the evolutionary work of the hour.

From the viewpoint of the material world it seems perfectly obvious that the heaven-world existence is superior to that of the astral region. But evidently it does not seem so to the consciousness when functioning in the latter. We have been warned of the snares and delusions of the emotional world and told that coiled serpents lie beneath its seductive blossoms. Apparently one of the dangers consists in yielding to the pleasures of a life so superior to the physical. Now, is it not true

that precisely the same illusion inheres in physical plane life?—an illusion that turns energies chiefly toward providing material superabundance. The illusions of the work-a-day world are none the less subtle because of their material character. The desire for wealth and all that it signifies is perhaps the commonest. How often do we see men who could really be very useful to the world, and who realise it and who fully intend some day to be of service, so absorbed in the folly of useless accumulation that they live and die a slave to that desire! But never for a moment do they believe that that will be their fate, so completely are they the victims of illusion. They confidently look forward to the time when they shall be free for service—an indefinite time to be sure, but nevertheless a time. But that time never arrives. Mammon is an artful master. Success in his service strengthens the desire to possess. The more that is conquered, the greater is the empire that remains to be conquered, because the desire grows with possession, and desire alone creates the field for conquest. The very strength of the lower nature contributes to its danger, and the separative intellect looks proudly upon its possessions bought at the fearful cost of misdirected energy. Such a man has, of course, missed his opportunities. Either the real purpose of life has eluded him or, when perceived, has not been carried out.

Human experience proves that good intentions about the distant years are of very little value. The person who coined the phrase, "Do it now," must have been a close student of human nature. He saw that one per cent of performance is worth more than ninety-nine per cent of good intentions. Frequently you hear the

person of good intentions speak optimistically of the money he will sometime give to Theosophical work. He is investing a few hundred dollars in real estate where he is sure there is to be a rapid rise of prices, or is putting a few thousand dollars into mining, and when he makes a million he will give half of it to the good work! "Don't mind the slow progress and present hardships," he says cheerfully, "because when I win there will be money to burn!" It does not occur to him to give a little now. He is saving it all for the distant time when we shall not need any money! Such a man is exhibiting one phase of the great physical plane illusion. There are multiplied thousands like him who spend the whole incarnation in accumulations for which they have no possible use, and which they leave practically untouched when they pass on. Does the difficulty not arise wholly from the failure to grasp the reality of any other plane than that on which the consciousness is functioning? While immersed in the physical world it is nearly impossible to make any other existence seem real. But when he reaches the astral life, will it not be more difficult to make the physical seem real, and to comprehend why, when here, he could have been so blind to the purpose of life? And as he moves gradually on toward reality the physical life must become as unreal as a dream, with the folly of having wasted time and energy on useless accumulations clearly apparent.

To live in the world but not be of the world is the great problem. To resist the seductive illusions of the physical plane, to realise the importance of our work and to do it, to direct all energies as true to the purpose of life as the compass' needle is true to the polar star,

is to exhibit the spirit of Theosophy that characterises the true server of the race.

The value of our short time on the physical plane is so great that it is a pity any of it should be lost through our illusions. Every day is priceless. Think of the difficulty of arriving at the point in each incarnation where we can make our efforts effective! A fourth or a third of the incarnation is spent in training and educating the vehicle of consciousness. With most of us many other years after maturity are spent in various lessons of life, before the Theosophical view of existence dawns upon us. Between middle life and its close the time is short even for the most fortunate. If we look backward for ten years we realise how short that period has been and how little is its accomplishment. As we grow older, time flies faster. The next twenty years will seem little longer than the last ten. Those of us who would make a Theosophical success of this incarnation must look well to our remaining days. Our time is limited, but it is also propitious. Before us is the splendid opportunity of putting forth energy that will count for many times more than at any other time in the history of our civilisation. Those who have the true spirit of Theosophy will be equal to the occasion.

We live in an era of things colossal. Mighty events are upon us. Earthquakes of passion shake the race. Thrones are tottering and the huge structure of armed and irresponsible authority is swaying to its fall. It is the beginning of the end—the crumbling of outgrown forms, the clearing of the way. As storm precedes the calm, the chaos of war will usher in the reign of peace, in which the Teacher of the

World can do His mighty work on human heart and brain.

The immediate years that lie ahead present an opportunity, not of a single incarnation but perhaps of a hundred. How can we make the most of it? How shall the servers serve?

We, Theosophists of America, are in charge of a most important portion of the world. There can be no doubt of the tremendous importance of preparing it for the new era. With voice and pen, before the people and through the press, with united effort and ceaseless energy, we should spread the Theosophic truths, until they are familiar to the whole of the American people.

The press is one of the greatest avenues for our energies. The public prints should be much better utilised, while of course a nearer duty is the maintenance of our own magazine. It does a special work that cannot be done through the press. It is our silent lecturer. It enters the home, carrying the priceless truths of nature to those who never have an opportunity to hear a lecture. It penetrates remote corners of the country. It reaches the otherwise unreachable. It should have the support of every member without an exception, for every name on its list adds to its strength and permanence. The magazine also forms an excellent avenue for the energies of the member who feels that very few lines of work are open to him. He can subscribe for it, read it, and pass it on to some one whom he thinks is seeking the light. But the least he can do is personally to support it, for it would be a standing reproach to our loyalty if the largest Theosophical organisation on the western continent permitted the

failure of its literary representative in the intellectual world.

The public press represents a great but neglected opportunity. There are literally hundreds of newspapers, some of them reaching enormous numbers of people, that will print acceptably written articles on the great problems of life with which Theosophy deals. With an organised system we should utilise that powerful engine of propaganda, supplying all the material that will be taken, simply and clearly teaching the elementary truths and endeavouring always to show the inherent reasonableness of the Theosophical hypothesis.

A tremendously important line of work is the organising of new centres in territory where Theosophy is not yet represented, for we thus open new doors to the flood of spiritual force that is sweeping over the world. We have in the United States nineteen cities of more than one hundred thousand population, with no Theosophical centre. We have sixty-nine other cities with from forty thousand to one hundred thousand people, where Theosophy has never been proclaimed. There is a still larger number of cities of from twenty thousand to forty thousand people, left without Theosophical knowledge. Think of the opportunity we have in preparing the field for coming events! Think of the increased force that could pour through the new centres we can make! Every rightly constituted Lodge is an instrument in the hands of the great Spiritual Hierarchy. We do not work alone. The more doors we open to the divine influence the easier becomes the remaining work. That work, the conquest of material America, is more important than any undertaking of any physical army. We should enter upon this conquest of America

as a General plans a campaign, and city after city should be added to the Theosophical forces until not a single community remains in ignorance of our purposes and our principles. Does it seem like a great task? We can do it! Nearly five thousand people marshalled for a common purpose, and united in the holy cause of service to the human race, cannot fail.

But to accomplish this great work we must have the true spirit of Theosophy—the spirit of sacrifice that makes all real successes possible. We serve the race and our motives should be high. A soldier serves only his country, but think of the sacrifice he makes! He leaves home and wife and children to endure hardship and face death. He has months or years of awful life, of marches through field and forest and swamp, of battle where human beings become blood-frenzied fiends, and engines of war tear bodies to fragments; or perhaps he goes to a prison where disease and famine unite to finish the work of torture, while those he left at home wait and watch, and listen for the footsteps that will never come! And he does all this for a lesser cause than ours.

There is a significance about action that no close student of the Occult can fail to comprehend. Only when we put an ideal into action does it really exist on the physical plane. The greatest souls that have visibly touched our earth, the Buddha and the Christ, have actively spread the light while making greater sacrifice than we can comprehend. The Buddha's life was a continuous sacrifice to the enlightenment of the people. These are exalted examples for us to follow. The student life is useful and necessary but the present special period is a time for action. Never have we had the

attention of the public as we have it to-day. Never has the press been so favourably disposed. Never has the drama been so filled with the Occult, and never has such a torrential tide of spiritual energy flowed toward the goal of our high desire. It is the time of times for action. We face that tide "which, taken at the flood, leads on to fortune". Beside these present great events past things grow small. Our country was once called the cradle of Liberty. But now it may well be called the cradle of the Coming Race. Our achievements should bear some relationship to that august fact. We should lead the world in Lodges, in work, in enthusiasm, in devotion, and in the high purpose of building in America a Theosophical organisation worthy the sublime destiny of our country.

We need much of the spirit that distinguished the two founders of our Society. They knew none of the remarkable advantages that we now enjoy. They began their work in an era of materialism—of darkness and doubt. Modern science had lighted a torch, but its light did little more than reveal the unsubstantial basis of dogmatic belief. The reaction from blind and unreasoning faith had begun. Like all reactions it went too far in the opposite direction. The intellect became the only arbiter. Intuition was rejected. Faith became a jest. Science had grasped half a truth and was following it into the wilderness of materialism. Men found themselves without a rational belief in a future life. Hope fled, and the shadow of Despair fell upon the western world.

It was then that a heroic soul came forth with a new declaration of forgotten truth, and bravely faced the taunts and jeers and calumnies with which the


world is wont to receive its most priceless gifts. She found the people who were not materialists hugging delusive idols to their hearts and, great iconoclast that she was, she shattered these to bits, and beneath the hope of immortality she placed the firm foundation of science, reason and the law.

Linked to her life and work was that of another great soul. He was born upon our own soil. Full of the alert life and energy characteristic of our people, he was admirably fitted for the rôle of organiser and builder of the Society's material vehicle. With tireless energy and a statesman's craft he laboured a third of a century at his task and ceased only at the summons of Death.

Together these co-workers supplied both wick and flame to light the western world upon its upward way. Through long years of weary labour, years of hardship, poverty and pain, years of sturdy conflict, years of heroic battle, they reproclaimed the deathless truth of man's immortal life.

Their lives give us a splendid example of the true spirit of Theosophy—the spirit of unfaltering sacrifice and constant devotion. So must our own lives be spent, that the purpose for which we came shall be fulfilled. We came only to build and teach, to toil and die. But from our lives and work will grow a light that shall banish the last doubt and fear of the human race and fill the world with peace and joy.

L. W. Rogers



AN OUTLINE OF ESSENISM

By DR. RAIMOND VAN MARLE

(Continued from p. 167)

VII. ESSENISM AND OTHER RELIGIONS

HILGENFELD thought he saw a connection between Buddhism and Essenism. Among the Buddhist monks as well as in the Essenean communities there existed a common sharing of goods, an abnegation from possessions, celibacy, abstinence from wine and prohibition of animal sacrifice and the killing of animals, also

of the use of oil for unction. In both we find the same love for humanity and the recognition of the equality of all its members. But, as Zeller remarks, the differences are very great, too great to enable one to see any striking resemblance between the two on account of the few points they have in common—which points are also to be found in the Greek Schools of Philosophy, partly in the Orphic and Pythagorean, partly in the Stoic and Cynic Schools. The chief differences are: that the Buddhists were begging monks, doing no manual work, while the Essenes worked all day long, and the Buddhist monks knew nothing of the very strict rules for purity enforced by the latter—the regulations with regard to food and to frequent baths—on the contrary they ate what was given to them, even meat. The confession which was prescribed twice monthly to the Buddhists was unknown to the Essenes, while the common meals which had a ceremonial character among the Essenes had no existence with the Buddhists, neither had the Sabbath. The Nirvāṇa of Buddha is very different from the idea of the bliss which the Spirit will enjoy after its separation from the body held by the Essenes. Again, the Buddhist theory of sorrow in all forms of existence is on the whole very different from the optimistic Essenean view of life; the latter taught self-development and encouraged high hopes for the future.

Creuzer believes strongly in a Persian influence on Essenism, and Tideman, too, is more or less of his opinion. They remark how Persia revived Judaism and how the Jews remained for a long time dependent upon the Persian satrap. The prescriptions with regard to purity and the doctrines concerning Satan and angels

may very well have crept into Judaism from the Persian religion and hence into Essenism. Also the connection between the sun and their prayers may be of Persian origin, as well as the magical therapeutics. Hilgenfeld was in favour of this last theory, but subsequently changed his opinion. Zeller notes, again, that the points Parseeism and Essenism have in common—bathing, rules regarding truthfulness, the doctrine about angels—are quite as much Pythagorean as Persian. The teachings on abstinence from wine and on animal food or animal offerings were not only oriental but were also known in Greece in the fifth, sixth, and seventh centuries. Sun-worship was as much Greek as oriental, and Zeller considers the books on magic were too common to attribute to them with certainty any particular origin.

Even if there are points in common between Essenism, Buddhism and Parseeism, they are certainly not essential. What was most important for the one was not so for the other, and the general tendencies were certainly different. The two spiritual schools which need serious consideration as having close connection with Essenism are the Therapeuts and the Neo-Pythagoreans.

If we admit that the Therapeuts existed—which is very probable, though Philo, who is the only source of information about them, has been severely criticised on this point—we shall certainly be struck by the points in which they resemble the Essenes. Zeller has noticed that Essenism cannot have been derived from the Therapeuts because the Platonic-Pythagorean speculative system was not yet prominent enough among the Alexandrian Jews to have formed a sect. Zeller

thinks that Essenism came into existence contemporaneously with the Alexandrian Jewish Mysticism and was not an offshoot of it, while Tideman believes in the influence of the Therapeuts upon the Essenes, as described by Philo in *De Vita Contemplativa*. Graetz sees in the Therapeuts Gnostic Christians and, in connection with that idea, does not believe in the genuineness of this book. Tideman contradicts many instances in which Graetz thinks Christian elements are to be found.

The points common to Therapeuts and Essenes were : both practised simplicity, abstained from animal food and wine, wore white garments, and were in sympathy with celibacy. The Essenes as well as the Therapeutæ made allegorical comments on the scriptures, held common meals which had a religious character, and regulated their morning prayers by the sun.

In their differences we find, curiously enough, that the Therapeuts held an exaggerated form of Essenism,—as the Essenes of Pharisaism. In their dualistic doctrines the Therapeuts were more speculative than the Essenes. They were also more contemplative, lived in absolute separateness and seem not to have led the common life of members of one order. Marriage was altogether rejected and the women amongst the Therapeuts were to remain chaste. While the Essenes had all their possessions in common, the Therapeuts had no possessions at all; and while the Essenes retired from the world from preference, the Therapeuts were prohibited from living in a town. More severe than the daily religious duties of the Essenes were those of the Therapeuts, who did not work at all but concentrated themselves continually on God. At

sunrise they asked for a good day during which their Spirit should be enlightened by heavenly light. At sunset they prayed that their souls should be delivered from the pressure of sense-perceptions and find the traces of truth in the innermost sanctuary. From morning till evening they were occupied with asceticism. The abstentions of the Essenes became, in the case of the Therapeuts, fasts lasting sometimes six hours; to the weak were given bread, salt and hyssop. Food was considered by them as something belonging to the kingdom of darkness. Tideman concludes that the Therapeuts were Alexandrian Essenes.

Josephus declared the Essenes to be Pythagoreans, a pronouncement to which his desire to hellenise may perhaps have pushed him. His view is, however, shared by many modern scholars.¹

To resume Zeller's arguments, which are the most convincing on behalf of a connection between Essenism and Neo-Pythagorism, we will first mention that the general characteristics of the two are the same. Then as to particulars we find that both are dualistic, that both believe that that which belongs to the sense is impure and desires are to be killed out; asceticism and abstinence are the consequences of this belief. Great similarities are to be seen in the relations which they both admit to exist between God and matter, soul and body. Both hoped to progress through asceticism to higher spiritual development. They both rejected on that account the use of meat and wine, warm baths, unction, and animal sacrifice. They both preferred celibacy to marriage, and marriage might only exist for

¹ Gfrörer, Baur, Dahne, Lutterbech, Zeller, Thieruh, Hase, Uhlhorn, Herzfeld, Mangold, Pressence, Bellermann, Holtzmann. Against this, Ewald, Ritschl, Hilgenfeld, Graetz and Lucius.

the purpose of propagation of the race. They both wore white garments and considered linen purer than wool. They both lived in communities, practised communism of goods and accepted a hierarchy to which absolute obedience was due. In both communities, new members were accepted only after some years of probation. The unworthy were excluded, strict secrecy prescribed and the highest consideration given to any person was to see in him an instrument of God. Both used symbols and found divine power in the elements. The beliefs of both were connected with the sun and each strove to hide anything impure from its rays. In their oaths there was also a great likeness, and in both systems there existed some middle being between God and the world. In Essenism as well as in Neo-Pythagorism magic was accepted. They saw the climax of wisdom in their prophets, who were, in both sects, esteemed the best members. A great resemblance exists in what the two doctrines say about the origin of the soul, the relation of the soul to the body, and life after death.

Differences are to be found in that the doctrine of metempsychosis, accepted by the Neo-Pythagoreans, was absent from Essenism and the science of numbers was not the same in each system. Zeller attributes the change to the transplanting of Hellenic wisdom to Jewish soil. The relation between Essenism and Neo-Pythagorism was the opposite of that which existed between the Essenes and the Therapeuts, because the Essenes may have often practised what the Neo-Pythagoreans held as ideals, for instance, celibacy and purity. The abolition of slavery was first put into effect by Essenes, though Greek philosophers had talked of the equal rights of all men.

Zeller does not wish to answer the questions whether we are to believe that Essenism was derived from Neo-Pythagorism, or whether the contrary was the case, or whether both were derived from a common source. For the last theory we have no argument; older examples of the same set of doctrines are not known to us, these peculiar teachings can be traced much further back in Neo-Pythagorism than in Essenism, and we find no Jewish elements in the first, but many Greek elements in the last. Zeller assumes, all the same, a great Neo-Pythagorean influence on Essenism. Tideman¹ argues that this influence can only have been felt after the year 50 B.C.; but Zeller sees the possibility of a much earlier connection. In the beginning of the third century many Hellenistic influences were at work in Palestine—as well through the Greek philosophers as through the Mysteries. Pythagorean ascetics might have existed there and flourished about that time. The Hasideans² then represented all those who were religious and consequently opposed to the indifferent Greeks. Zeller sees also the possibility of these Hasideans being Essenes.

I think we may conclude that Essenism stands on Jewish bases, that it has probably taken its form under Neo-Pythagorean influence and was closely connected with the Therapeuts.

VIII. THE CONNECTION OF ESSENISM WITH MESSIANIC TEACHING, CHRISTIANITY AND JESUS

The connection between Essenism and apocalyptic teaching gave rise to the question whether there was

¹ Tideman, p. 53.

² Of whom is question in *I Maccabaeus*, vi, 13.

any such bond with Messianic teaching—a hypothesis admitted by Tideman, Hausrath, Oehler and Hilgenfeld. They assign as a reason, that asceticism might be a preparation for prophecy,¹ and that the prophets were bound to have known the important events about to happen. All the same the arguments given in the *Apocalypse* and the *Psalms* are found to exercise an influence on the attitude of the Essenes to the outer world, *viz.*, that the actual condition of things, both civil and religious was intolerable, and that those who ought to set the example in piety were corrupted.² Thus arises the longing for the day of judgment.³ In accordance with the view held by the Essenes on the Second Temple, combined with that held as regards future changes, is a passage in *Enoch*⁴ in which mention is made of a day on which God will do away with all the temples in Jerusalem in which He has ceased to live.

The only thing which man can do to hasten this day, on which great changes will take place and the Messianic Kingdom be established, is to live after the manner of the laws of God—the Jewish belief being that the Lord remained away only on account of the imperfections of man. Now we certainly find in the attitude of the Essenes much to make us think that, actuated by such motive, they endeavoured to live up to a high standard; often too, in later times, such effort to live up to the standard of divine prescriptions has been due to, and the result of, Messianic expectations.

¹ As in *Daniel*, i, 8; x, 2, 3. *Enoch*, lxxxiii, 2; lxxxv, 3. *Talmud Sota*, ix, 9.

² *Enoch*, iii, 12; iv, 3. *Psalms*, iv, xii.

³ *Psalms*, xvii.

⁴ *Enoch*, xc, 29.

These fragments of information are not, perhaps, very convincing, yet they open up for us a possible theory against which little can be said.

An attempt has been made to place S. John the Baptist as a member of the Essene community. The characteristics in his life which have led some to this idea are: his separateness of life, his purity, his celibacy; even the cloak which he is said to have worn is reminiscent of the winter cloak prescribed in winter for the Essenes. This is, however, quite insufficient evidence to warrant such a conclusion, and the facts that S. John ate locusts and mixed freely with all sorts and conditions of men, can be brought up irrefutably to disprove such an idea.

We shall now consider whether there are any passages in the Christian Scriptures which show a probable trace of Essenean influences. In *Romans*¹ we see that the idea of abstinence from animal food and wine at least was already in existence at that time. In *Corinthians*² a recommendation to celibacy may be found; in *Colossians*³ purity is advocated and Angels are spoken of. In *Revelations*⁴, where also celibacy is encouraged, we find several features which occur in the Essenean doctrines; there also the promise is given that the New Jerusalem will not be a Temple⁵, and the poverty which is equal to riches⁷ is spoken of. *Acts*, again, refer to communism of goods⁶ and S. James⁹ prohibits swearing, but for other reasons than the Essenes give.

¹ *Romans*, xiv, 21.

² *I. Cor.*, vii, 8, 9, 28, 36.

³ *Coloss.*, ii, 11.

⁴ *idem*, ii, 18.

⁵ *Revelations*, xiv, 4; xxi, 27.

⁶ *idem*, xi, 1-2; xxi, 22.

⁷ *idem*, ii, 9.

⁸ *Acts*, ii, 45; iv, 32-37.

⁹ *James*, v, 12.

A document of Jewish-Christian origin in which we find questions raised similar to the Essenean is the Pseudo-Clementine *Recognitiones* and *Homilies*; for instance, is the eating of blood a sin?¹ is the Temple not a sacred place?² What is said in the *Recognitiones* and *Homilies*³ about the way in which the heathen joined the Jewish Christians also has many Essenean elements. In order to be accepted the applicant had to pass through three degrees like the Essenean aspirant; before his baptism, the candidate had to wear a white dress which must be kept unstained; of the highest degree nothing is said. Taking blood is regarded as equally sinful as eating what has been killed. Baptism seems to have been considered in the Essenean way. When married, restriction in sexual intercourse was prescribed, and afterwards, as in all actions which could pollute, washing was necessary. We find also in Clement's *Anagorisms* that excess is the result of a dualistic conception dividing the material (not-lasting-evil) from the spiritual (eternal-good). In that book it is recommended that one should have no earthly possessions, have but one set of clothing and live on water and bread, give one's earnings as a duty of the pious (believing) and not appear naked before the All-Seeing Eye. Marriage, however, was not discouraged, but, on the contrary, encouraged.

The *Philosophoumena* again gives us information about the Elkasites who wrought a change in Jewish Christianity at the beginning of the third century. The chief feature in their religious practices was the taking of 40 baths a week; it was as essential as Baptism. Epiphanius,⁴ tells us of prophetesses who had also the

¹ *Recog.*, i, 28, 29.

² *idem*, i, 36, 38, 64.

³ *Recog.*, iv-vii, *Homil.*, vii-xi.

⁴ Epiphanius, *Refut. omn. Haeres.*, ix, 15-16.

power of healing the sick. They had a book of prophecy; its secrets were only revealed to those who gave a certain oath. They abstained from all animal food and objected to sacrifice, altars and fire.

The small resemblances between some facts concerning the Apostles and Essenism are unimportant and not worth enumerating. Tideman says the same with regard to Justin Martyr and the Pastor of Hermas. Some of the greater authorities on the history of Christianity admit the possibility of a fusion of Essenism and Christianity in later years. Duchesne, for instance, is of this opinion.¹

We come now to the very important question whether there is any historical foundation for the fact that Jesus was an Essene or that he had any connection with the Essenes. It is a theory which in the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries was held by Theologians, and in the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries Theists and Freemasons believed and proclaimed it. Voltaire, Montfaucon, Standlin, Wachter, Bahrdt, Venturini, and the representatives of the Jewish School (Salvador, Gratz, Cohen) and Free-Thinkers like Reynaud, Hennell and Clemens have held the same opinion. Frankel also thought that the hidden side of Essenism might have played an important part in the formation of Christian doctrines. Wegern, Hemlin, Nicolas, Klein, Réville, Hilgenfeld, Tideman, Holtzmann, Delaimay, and Demmler declared themselves against this theory. Graetz sees in S. John the Baptist an Essenian announcing the approaching of the Kingdom of Heaven, a belief shared by the Essenes. S. John preached

¹ Quant aux Esseniens ils vecurent a cote du Christianisme et s'ils se joignerent a lui ce ne fut que tardivement. Duchesne, *Hist. Ancienne de l'Eglise*. (5th ed., Paris, 1911.) i, p. 13.

Essenean asceticism for those who wished to prepare for this Kingdom. All this is in the nature of hypothesis and not many confirmatory arguments are to be found. Graetz also says that judging by externals S. John seemed not to belong to the Essenean Sect. He adds that Jesus was a disciple of S. John who continued his work and led Essenism, in new ways. Graetz traces a great similarity between the life of Jesus and the Essenean doctrine—the voluntary poverty, the contempt for riches, dislike for marriage and the sharing of goods amongst disciples. Jesus prohibited the use of the oath as did the Essenes, and in common with the latter he exorcised demons. We find Hegesypes calls James an Essene and a brother of Jesus. Graetz admits that Jesus did not conform to the outward signs and rules of the Essenes, but he followed their teachings. Tideman has many objections to this hypothesis of Graetz. He remarks that the contact of S. John with Jesus was of very short duration and that owing to some analogous teachings of Jesus and the Essenes a certain sympathy might be assumed, but this is not a proof that he was an Essene. Again, Jesus lived on gifts of charity, his poverty differed from that of the Essenes, they lived by means of their manual work. Jesus did not preach general poverty and in the case of the rich young man who was told to sell his goods¹ we have an isolated case; besides he was not told to give the price of his belongings to the Essenean community but to the poor. We see in *Mark*² that Jesus travelled through a country where Essenes lived and we find that he speaks there

¹ *S. Matt*, xix, 16-22.

² *S. Mark*, x, 1. *S. Matt.*, iv, 25; xix, 1.

twice about marriage. There is no question in the teachings of Jesus of the separation of husband and wife;¹ he calls marriage a union made by God. The beginning of the nineteenth chapter of *S. Matthew* is an encouragement to marriage without any restrictions. The Apostles were not married, but that is possibly because it is desirable that those who would devote all their time to the teaching of the doctrines of Christ should have no other ties or worldly cares.

It is true that the Jews as well as the Essenes prohibited swearing. The Essenes, however, admitted one oath, the Jews none at all, though once given they considered an oath to be binding. The exorcisms of the Essenes, like those of many others, were done with the help of magical formulæ and talismans. Neither Jesus nor the Essenes attach much importance to Temples or Temple sacrifices; Jesus because he considers charity and the love of humanity of far higher value than any offerings, the Essenes because of the impurity of the priests of the Second Temple. Prayer is for Jesus an act to be performed in secret and alone, for the Essenes prayers were to be in common. The greatest difference of opinion expressed in the teachings of the Essenes and of Jesus concerns purity. Jesus says that nothing exterior can pollute a man² and in several places in the Gospels we find that he acts according to this prescription which is quite opposed to the opinion held by the Essenes.

The whole tendency of his teachings is different. It is very noticeable in a passage where he says that fasts have no importance³ and strict observance of the

¹ Except in the case of adultery.

² *S. Mark*, vii, 18-19.

³ *S. Matt.*, xi, 19.

Sabbath was not practised by him.¹ Jesus certainly did not want to found a sect but rather to compel those who followed his teachings to a life of love and piety.² It is, however, quite natural that there should be many points of similarity between the Essenes and the disciples of Jesus.

The opinion of the leading scholars is nowadays quite decidedly against the hypothesis that Jesus was associated with the Essenes. A pronouncement has been made quite recently by E. C. Dewick in his Hulsean Prize Essay as follows: "The attempts to prove that our Lord was connected with this (Essenean) sect are now admitted on all hands to be mere flights of the imagination. In apostolic and sub-apostolic times, Essenean influence may perhaps be traced, but not in the Gospels."³ Of the same opinion are Réville,⁴ Zeller,⁵ Guignebert,⁶ Uhlhorn⁷ and others who all rule this theory entirely out of consideration; they are equally decided in the opinion that the Gospels and the origins of Christianity have no connection with Essenism.⁸

¹ S. Mark, ii, 23-28.

² S. Matt., xx, 25-29.

³ E. C. Dewick. *Primitive Christian Eschatology*, p. 213. Cambridge, 1912.

⁴ Réville. *Jesus de Nazareth*, I, pp. 150-1. *Ce qu'on peut affirmer c'est que l'Evangile n'a rien à faire avec l'Essénisme. Rien de plus faux que d'assigner à l'enseignement de Jesus pour berceau cette petite communauté monastique sans rayonnement.*

⁵ Zeller. *Philosophie der Griechen*, III, p. 550.

⁶ Guignebert. *Manuel de l'Histoire Ancienne du Christianisme* p. 66, Paris, 1907. This professor of the Sorbonne of Paris admits however (pp. 420, 452) the possibility of a later influence of the Essenes on the Christians.

⁷ Uhlhorn in Herzog's *Real Encyclopædie für Protest. Theol. u. Kirche*, V, p. 526, 1898, states that such a theory belongs to the realm of unfounded hypothesis.

⁸ As we have already seen it is very difficult to find any striking resemblance between the Essenean teachings and those of the Gospels and it is not easy to understand M. Schure's contention that the esotericism of Christianity is illumined by the Essenean and Gnostic traditions (*Les grands Initiés*, Introduction, p. xvii) M. Schure also claims that Jesus lived some years with this sect (*ibid.*, p. 475).

During the last century, although historical criticism rejected the hypothesis entirely, from other sources a connection between Essenism and Jesus has been claimed. This tradition began with Bahrdr's writings¹ at the end of the eighteenth century. He was followed by Venturini,² both classified by Schweitzer³ as romantic biographers of Jesus. Later, Gfrörer⁴ favoured the hypothesis, as also Hennell,⁵ who pretended to quote from genuine documents which were in reality but a plagiarism of the passages on the Essenes quoted by Venturini. Von der Alm,⁶ who wrote a new and somewhat romantic biography of the Lord, believes that Jesus was an Essene. This belief is shared by many others, and recently a biography of Jesus has been published, claiming to be founded on information drawn from Essenic traditions.⁷

In Theosophical literature we find that clairvoyant investigation is in agreement with those biographies of Jesus which are termed "romantic". H. P. Blavatsky does not say much on the matter, but in the *Theosophical Glossary* she admits the possibility of a connection

¹ Bahrdr. *Briefe über die Bibel im Volkston*, 1782. *Briefe an Wahrheit-suchende Leser*, 1784-92. *Die sämtlichen Reden Jesu aus den Evangelisten ausgezogen*, 1786.

² Venturini. *Natürliche Geschichte des grossen Propheten von Nazareth* (4 Volumes), Kopenhagen, 1800-2, 2nd. ed., 1806.

³ Schweitzer. *Geschichte der Leben Jesu Forschung*, 1913.

⁴ A. F. Gfrörer. *Kritische Geschichte des Urchristentums*, 1835-38.

⁵ Hennell. *Untersuchungen über den Ursprung des Christentums*, 1840, which had appeared in 1838 in English followed by *Wichtige Enthüllungen über die wirkliche Todesart Jesu. Nach einem alten zu Alexandria gefundenen Manuscripte von einem Zeitgenossen Jesu aus den heiligen Orden der Essaer*, 5th ed., 1849, also translated. A continuation hereof was: *Historische Enthüllungen über die wirklichen Ereignisse der Geburt und Jugend Jesus als Fortsetzung der zu Alexandria aufgefundenen allen Urkunden aus dem Essaer Order*, 2 ed., 1849.

⁶ R. v. d. Alm (Ghilanny). *Theologische Briefe an den Gebildeten der Deutsche Nation*. 3 volumes 1863.

⁷ E. P. Berg. *The Spiritual Biography of Jesus Christ according to the Sainly Essenes*.

between Christianity and the Essenean sect, and in *Isis Unveiled* she speaks of the fusion of Christianity and Essenism, Mrs. Besant¹ and Mr. Leadbeater² agree that Jesus received his education among the Essenes, Mr. Leadbeater adding that Jesus instructed for a certain period the heads of the Essene Community.³

Before concluding this series of articles on Essenism, I wish to draw attention to the important statement (referred to above) made by Madame Blavatsky in *Isis Unveiled*.⁴

The Gnostics entertained many of the Essenean ideas, and the Essenes had their "greater" and "minor" Mysteries at least two centuries before our era. They were the *Isarim* or *Initiates*, the descendants of the Egyptian hierophants, in whose country they had been settled for several centuries before they were converted to Buddhistic monasticism by the missionaries of King Asoka, and amalgamated later with the earliest Christians; and they existed, probably, before the old Egyptian temples were desecrated and ruined in the incessant invasions of Persians, Greeks, and other conquering hordes.

Raimond van Marle

¹ Annie Besant. *Esoteric Christianity*.

² C. W. Leadbeater. *The Inner Life*, Vol. I, Chapter on Christianity.

³ C. W. Leadbeater. *The Christian Creed*, p. 15.

⁴ *Isis Unveiled*, Vol. II, p. 42—reprinted in *The Secret Doctrine* Vol. III, p. 273, note 1.

GOOD FRIDAY CEREMONIAL

By THE REV. F. G. MONTAGU POWELL, M.A.

THE Prophet Ezekiel is charged by Jehovah (*Ezek.* viii, 14) to observe with horror the abominations practised by the people of Israel, singling out for especial reprehension "women weeping for Tammuz".

Now Tammuz, or Thammuz, is, as we know from Dr. Frazer's *Golden Bough*, no other than Attis, or Adonis, and we know from other sources that, as early at least as the fifth century B.C., his death by the wild boar on Mount Lebanon was lamented by the Greeks, who had borrowed the story from the Semitic people with whom it was an almost prehistoric cult. At Byblus the death of Adonis was annually mourned with weeping, wailing and beating of the breast; but next day he was believed to come to life again and ascend up to Heaven in the presence of his worshippers. This Festival took place in the Spring, for its date was determined by the discolouration of the river Adonis, the waters of which were reddened by the earth washed down from the mountains at that season, or assumably by the blood of Adonis. In the Babylonian legend, the Goddess Ishtar (Astarte, Easter) descends to Hades to fetch the water of life, with which to restore to life the dead Tammuz; and it appears that water was thrown over an image of Tammuz, *i.e.*, Adonis, at a great mourning ceremony where men and women stood round the funeral pyre of Tammuz lamenting. (Frazer, *Golden Bough*, i, 287.)

Thus far the so-called heathen ceremonial. But in what, I would ask you, does it differ from the

'Devotion of the Three Hours' on Good Friday, at which, in our Anglican churches, women attend dressed in black, while at three o'clock, on the close of the service, a bell is tolled, to signify that Jesus the Christ (or Adonis, a name signifying the Lord) is dead?

Then on Easter (or Ishtar's) morning, all is changed for a scene of rejoicing, and with spring flowers we decorate our churches, for "Jesus Christ is risen indeed".

I am not seeking in any way to belittle our, ceremonial but rather to enhance its importance, nor indeed to relegate our Gospel story to the category of myth or legend, but rather to show how much older Christianity is than the Christ of the Gospels or than A.D. 1. To re-affirm, in a word, the utterance of the Master Himself: "Before Abraham was, I am." In this sense, can we not see the Spirit of the Kosmic Christ indwelling in the Human Race, and prompting all these ceremonials of Death and Rebirth (so well put forward by Dean Inge in his sermon at St. Paul's on Easter Day), wherever they may be found, and thus, if you will, making ready the way for this last Avaṭāra or manifestation, in the Person and Work of Jesus of Nazareth.

For it is obvious that the vernal equinox takes precedence of all other seasons, even of that of the winter solstice. At that time the Sun, the solar presentment of our Divine Guide, returns from his long sojourn in the South, and crossing the Equator (thus forming the Sign of the Cross of our Redemption) gladdens our hearts and brings life and light on the physical plane to us, themselves the symbols of Life and Light Eternal. So from the past, remote beyond conception, has our solar system been so framed as to illuminate man's deepest promptings and highest aspirations, nay, his most intimate and

personal experiences as well. For as the sun passes at the autumnal equinox into the shorter and darker days, indicating man's deep descent into the death of the material environment, so at the winter solstice, man's nadir as it were, does he emerge into longer and brighter days, precursors of that unique, that celestial, experience when he finally crosses the Equator which bounds him from the Divine, and thus enters on his spiritual adventure, to be crowned and consummated by union with his Divine Source at the longest, brightest day of the summer solstice.


How sacred then is the trust which we western people have received, to keep pure and unalloyed a heritage from so remote a past, and indicating a goal and a destiny whose splendour no words can describe.

In the ceremonial of the Greek Church, which from its intense conservatism is often more "true to type" than even the Roman Catholic, spring flowers are not, as with us, used to decorate the churches, but the worshippers who frequent them. Thus in the neighbourhood of Constantinople, youths are stationed outside the church doors with bunches of hyacinths, which they present to the devout. The hyacinth, you will remember, is said to have sprung from the blood of Adonis, and, as you are aware, there is one variety which has AI AI marked on its petals, to signify the weeping for the dead God. The scarlet anemone, so familiar in the Riviera, is also connected by its colour with the same tragedy.

But you may say, if the Prophet thundered at the "women weeping for Tammuz," and other like ceremonial, will he not thunder at us for our observances, however differently we may allocate them? I think not. You will always notice how "anathemas" are hurled

almost promiscuously at the older worship as it gradually melts into the newer form, how in fact the neophytes do their best endeavour to destroy all traces of that from which indeed their religion sprang. This is one of the great hindrances in the way of the student of comparative religion. It may be that, now and at the present moment, a reaction is setting in, or rather I would say, a feeling that we have reached, or are reaching, a stage beyond the signs and symbols of such outward observance; hence possibly among our own people a dislike to the taking over by many, or by some of us, of practices clearly Roman, but without the sanction or authority of the Roman Congregation of Rites. The Prophets of old thundered at idolatrous practices, not I believe because they were wrong in themselves, but because they wanted to shift their people on to a higher stage, a more highly evolved religion. So with us. Is this reaction, or instinctive feeling of dislike of Ceremonial, not only harmless in itself but positively necessary to the Race at one stage, due to a sort of instinctive feeling that we are moving on to the confines of a still higher form of worship, in which the old will not be abrogated, but taken up and assimilated? Such we may see has been the case in the history of all great religions, following the lines of a spiritual evolution, a spiritual renaissance, in which we shall finally dispossess the obsessing idea of the Sovereignty of a God *without* us, and attain to that to which so many finger-posts are pointing us to-day, namely a God *within* us, an immanent God, whose continual Presence can make real to us the Master's Words: "The Kingdom of God is *within* you."

F. G. Montagu Powell



THE OCCULTIST AND THE MYSTIC

By E. A. WODEHOUSE, M.A.

I

ONE of the Theosophical problems which have not been fully elucidated in our literature is that of the Occultist and the Mystic. It is true that in the March THEOSOPHIST the President has a brief paragraph on the subject, in which she mentions certain broad differences of character, aim and method between the two; but this, pregnant and illuminating though it is, is rather a description than a definition. What so many students have sought, in connection with this particular antithesis, has

been, first of all, a clear definition of the precise point of differentiation and, secondly, some account of how and when the distinction comes into being in relation with the evolving individual. These two questions are, I believe, at present almost unanswered, at least in our exoteric Theosophical writings.

It is not difficult to understand the importance of the problem in the eyes of the aspirant to the higher life. He is aware of the existence of these twin goals of spiritual attainment, both of them, as he knows; held in equal reverence by those who have knowledge—both, in the words of the paragraph which I have just mentioned, “blessed, holy, and necessary”—and he naturally wishes to discover which of the two he, as an individual, ought to pursue. For this purpose, it is very necessary that he should know, in the first place, the exact nature of the two goals; and, in the second place, that he should ascertain whether or no the choice between the two is still open to him. It is possible that it may have been determined ages ago by Nature herself, either at the moment of individualisation or even earlier, at the birth of the Monad; or again that he may himself have made the critical choice in some earlier incarnation. On the other hand, it is possible that it still lies ahead of him, and in that case he would like to have some direction as to how to make it and what it involves. Thus the question is a thoroughly practical one, and it is largely because of its practical character that it has aroused so much interest. There are few problems more frequently discussed where Theosophists are gathered together; yet it would be hard to name any problem, so often considered by students, on which there is to be found so little certainty or unanimity of thought.

The commonest idea as to the distinction between the Occultist and the Mystic would appear to be that it is one of generic types ; that is to say, that the Mystics and the Occultists of the world constitute two great spiritual families sprung from separate roots and evolving along separate lines. Whether or no an individual belongs to one of those families rather than the other, becomes, therefore, a matter over which he has no control, since it has been settled once and for all by Nature herself ; and the business of the aspirant is not to decide which line to select, but merely to discover to which of the two he already belongs.

A little reflection on this theory of generically separate types will, however, reveal its inherent difficulties. Occultism recognises only seven fundamental, or original, types of this kind in our System, *i.e.*, those which it speaks of as the Seven Rays. If, then, we admit the Occultist and the Mystic, as representing generic types in this far-reaching sense, we are confronted by three possible alternatives : (1) We have here two new types, which must be added to the seven already recognised ; that is to say, the previous list was incomplete ; or (2) we must identify the Occultist and the Mystic, specifically, with two out of the Seven Rays ; or finally (3) we must look upon the two types as a more ultimate classification than that of Rays, *i.e.*, as a dualism into which the Seven Rays can themselves be resolved. Since, moreover, the septenary classification of Rays is one which is not merely confined to humanity, but which strikes down through all the Kingdoms of Nature, the dualism of the Mystic and the Occultist must, if it is to include the Rays, strike deep and constitute an ultimate bisection not merely of

the human race but of all manifested life in our System.

Of these three alternatives it will suffice to say that we have certainly no grounds for accepting the first—the septenary classification being, we are given to understand, that which prevails in our Solar System; the second is clearly put out of court by the fact that it is known that Occultists, at least, are to be found on all the Rays, and it is to be presumed that this must be true of Mystics also; while a clear comprehension of what the word “Ray” means (*i.e.*, a stream of life coming out through one of the seven great Planetary Logoi) will show that the only way of resolving such Rays into a more ultimate dualism would be by positing, between the Solar Logos and the Planetary Logoi, two intermediate Logoi—a daring piece of speculation for which we have no warrant.

There is, however, one other possibility, which, if true, would involve a certain generic separation of type; and that is, that the differentiation of Mystic and Occultist dates, if not from the very beginnings of manifestation in our system, at least from the moment of individualisation into the human Kingdom. It is well known to students that occult investigation has revealed a broad classification of egos into types, according to the particular manner in which they happen to have been individualised out of the animal Kingdom into humanity; and this classification seems to have been concrete enough and well enough defined to make a difference not merely in the psychology of the classes of egos concerned, but in their subsequent lines of unfoldment, and in the method of their manipulation by the Powers in control of the evolutionary process.¹

¹ I refer, of course, to the “boat-loads,” in so far as the manner of individualisation seems to have been a determining factor in the formation of these.

One of the classes thus formed—that to which the name of “Servers” has been given—appears, in some ways, to be capable of identification with the Occultists of the race, since it is from the ranks of the Servers that, we are given to understand, the Occult Hierarchy is recruited through the ages. If, then, there is, as this might appear to indicate, an occult class, determined in the first instance by method of individualisation (all so-called “Servers” having individualised through one form of Devotion or another) then there may also be, on the same principle, a mystic class; and the distinction of Mystic and Occultist may thus be still, in a certain sense, one of origins, although not of an origin so remote as that of Rays. Considered in relation to our Earth-chain, at least—to go no farther back—the distinction could be thought of as genuine, and the two classes regarded as family types.

As against this identification of the Servers with a special occult class, and the deduction from it of the possibility of a corresponding mystic class, there are, however, two arguments worthy of note. (1) Mystics are also Servers. Mrs. Besant speaks of the Occultist and the Mystic, in the passage already mentioned, as “the two Hands of the One LOGOS in His helping of His universe”; (2) In the same passage, she states that the Mystics tend to become the Nirmāṇakāyas. But in other places in our literature the line of the Nirmāṇakāyas is mentioned as one of the seven Paths which open out before the Occultist who has risen, grade by grade, through the Hierarchy to the Asekha level. If, then, we regard, the Nirmāṇakāya as the apotheosis of the Mystic, it becomes possible—putting these two statements together—to say that the Occultist may, if

he will, become a Mystic, which at once breaks through any attempted construction of air-tight compartments. The fusion and intermingling of the two lines is, indeed, definitely emphasised by the President. Not only, in her words, do "the concrete individuals shade off into each other" in ordinary cases, but in the Perfect Man, apparently in virtue of His perfection, the two are necessarily synthesised and inverted: "The perfected Occultist gradually includes the Mystic; the perfected Mystic finally includes the Occultist."

Thus the hypothesis of a fundamental differentiation of type, rooted in a difference of origin—whether monadic or having to do with the mode of individualisation of the ego—appears to be somewhat difficult to maintain. There remains, then, the only other alternative, and that is to look upon the antithesis as one which has "grown up," and upon Mystics and Occultists as differentiations from a common stock.

This means that we have to discard altogether the hypothesis of separate families, marked out by Nature from the very beginning as the future Occultists and Mystics of humanity, and to substitute for this concrete dualism one of abstract principles. We have to posit, in other words, the existence of two antithetical, or at least dissimilar principles in human nature, to which, for the purposes of our argument, we may give the names of the mystical and the occult principle respectively. These principles, like every other in the make-up of man, can, we must imagine, be either cultivated or left alone; or, if from their nature they form an indispensable element in human life, can be cultivated either equally or unequally. If, then, as may happen, one of them is developed in a special degree, to the comparative neglect of

the other, that principle will come to be looked upon, after a while, as specially characteristic of the man. He will, as time goes on, tend more and more definitely to stand for it in a kind of representative way, until a point is reached when he may, for convenience sake, be called by the name of the principle thus emphasised, and become the Occultist or the Mystic as the case may be. Such a long-continued emphasis, moreover, will through the gathering impetus of habit tend, from the psychological point of view, towards a crystallisation into type; and after a few lives may come to have, for the reincarnating ego, all the force of a distinction of origins such as we were discussing above. But, seeing that the only method by which such crystallisation can be accomplished is by deliberate and resolute specialisation, it is also clear that it must be of the nature of an exception, and that the normal condition of things, which we shall expect to find in most cases, will be an intermingling of the two principles rather than the exclusive cultivation of one at the expense of the other. The undiluted Occultist and the undiluted Mystic will, in other words, be rarities, if they are not indeed actual impossibilities, and this is in line both with the words of Mrs. Besant, already quoted, and with our own common experience which tells us that undiluted types, of any sort, are the exception and not the rule in our world.

Having posited an occult and a mystical principle of this kind, it obviously now remains for us to see whether it be possible in human nature, as we know it, to discover two principles which fit in with our hypothesis—which, that is to say, if cultivated in an exclusive and special way will produce, respectively, the contrasted

types of spiritual individuality which we ordinarily speak of as the Occultist and the Mystic.

A little reflection will show that the principles, thus sought, may be of three kinds. They may be : (1) two amongst a number of other principles in human nature (intellectual, emotional, æsthetic), and so to be classed with these, and on a level with them, as merely two out of many modes in which man's life may function ; or (2) they may be something deeper than this and stand, in some way, for an ultimate dualism in human life, *i.e.*, as two principles into which every activity of man can somehow be resolved. And if they are found, as a matter of fact, to constitute an ultimate dualism, of this kind, then there is still a further possibility. The dualism, ultimate though it may be in respect of human nature, may be confined to human nature ; or (3) it may be one which is applicable not merely to man but to the whole of manifested life. What we speak of as the mystical and occult principles may, in short, turn out to be fundamental principles of Nature herself, existing and operating in all her worlds.

To the metaphysician, it need hardly be said, the antithesis of the Mystic and the Occultist will become the more interesting, the more deeply it can be shown to pierce into the heart of Being ; and nothing would please him more, therefore, than for this view to be the true one. It will provide him, indeed, with a striking generalisation, if he can find the whole of Nature to be built up, from one point of view, by the interplay of the mystical and occult principles. It is noteworthy, therefore, for him, that, in one of the few pieces of authoritative writing on the subject in our literature—the paragraph of Mrs. Besant's to which reference has already

more than once been made—there is a hint, even though only a hint, that such a generalisation may be possible. For in the concluding sentence of that paragraph Mrs. Besant, speaking of the functions of the Occultist and the Mystic in the worlds of Nature, uses a symbol which seems to imply that these two terms, taken together, stand for an exhaustive classification of the spiritual life—since she describes them as “the two Hands of the one LOGOS in His helping of His universe”. If, then, we are to take this phrase as accurate and as implying what it appears to imply—namely, that into one or other of these classes all God’s helping of His manifested worlds must necessarily fall, it follows that the dualism of the occult and the mystical principles in Nature must be one, in reality, far profounder than is ordinarily suspected and one which, when we have grasped it, we shall find to be capable of application to the whole of manifested life. For the whole of life is, as we know, one, and that which we call the “spiritual” life is only an extension and a further unfoldment of life as it exists at lower levels. Consequently a formula which provides an exhaustive classification, in respect of the spiritual life, will necessarily be ultimate and all-inclusive at other levels also.

The student, thus encouraged, will adventure, then, on his quest for a formula, which, while maintaining unimpaired all that we ordinarily know of the Mystic and the Occultist in concrete human experience, will at the same time show these two types to have come into being gradually by the specialisation upon one or other of two alternative principles in human nature; these principles, in their turn, not being confined to human nature, but standing for an ultimate dualism of nature herself.

Is it possible to find a formula of this kind ?

There is one line of thought which, in the opinion of the present writer, may lead us to such a formula.

E. A. Wodehouse

(To be continued)

FREEDOM IN BONDAGE

My soul, refreshed with life, all the day long
 Sings sweetly to my heart, and shines and glows ;
 For chains grow lax and far from me it goes
 When Sleep's cool kisses on my eyelids throng.
 Returning, it makes pure my flesh with fire,
 Having been free, save for one sacred tie,
 To spread its everlasting wings and fly
 E'en to the uttermost Heaven. Yet entire
 Freedom it cannot know until the day
 When soul from body shall be torn apart
 And every chain be broken. . . . O my heart,
 Beat softly lest the time draw near ! . . . And may
 Ere then so frail have grown earth's prison-seal
 That soul nor body shall the parting feel.

EVA M. MARTIN

urgent, never passing from us night nor day. And we cannot otherwise.

And why cannot we, who do belong to the Body effective of the Great Healing Christ, do otherwise?

Because, if we are in the Christ consciousness, we do love every creature with our whole heart, soul and mind.

And it follows from this that we must love all these warring peoples equally and well. We feel that they all belong in their true or essential nature to the great Christ-body of Humanity, and that in very reality they are of our own very substance, bone of our bone, flesh of our flesh, soul of our soul, life of our life, love of our love.

And inasmuch as we truly feel this to be so, in so much shall we suffer equally in the sufferings of "the aliens" and in the sufferings of "our own" nation.

And again I repeat it, we cannot otherwise. This is our place and our only place of activity, and certainly of effectivity.

For our only place of abiding is in that realm wherein the personal or national is entirely transcended. No more can we function spiritually in the degree of the limited affections.

We do not say that this narrower service is impossible, nor do we in any way criticise it. Far from that, we acknowledge its good use and recognise its place and its power. We simply say that we are not there, and never again can be there.

Therefore it is that in our daily meetings for silent concentration towards the coming peace of the world, we can only will this good, and as much for our German as for our French brothers and sisters in the present tribulation of their life-forces.

And therefore it is, that, even at the risk of being considered disloyal, we cannot join in the prayers of the Churches for the dead and wounded of our Army and Navy, to the exclusion of, or even in preference to, those of Germany.

Also, in so far as we are in the Wisdom of Christ, we know that they are all our brothers in life, and that all our interests are one. We know that what is loss to them is loss to us, and what is gain to us is gain to them. We know that the present law of possession being all a delusion, and in the falsity of Greed, is the cause of this strife.

This knowledge is a sure fruit of the Wisdom of God in every soul who has been so enlightened, and they can never deny it. It stands in their deepest nature, firm, sure, and steadfast, an abiding consciousness, sane and sanctifying, however much in their superficialities other thoughts or feelings may at times arise to appearance.

Now the mission of Great Britain—and I speak of Great Britain because she is the mother of the many English-speaking peoples, American and other, who are equally called to this great world service—is to be the bearer and forth-bringer of this Holy Thing to the peoples of the earth.

And that she has been called of Heaven and set apart for this great world-service is evident from many facts of her existence as an Empire, among which we name only these few. How conscious we are of her falling short of this great trust we cannot tell. Surely in our love of her we are not blind to her failings, nor is it in us to compare invidiously or boastfully our people with these other peoples.

Yet for these and other reasons the fact remains with us that she is ordained so to serve:

(1) Through her sympathy with the natives, her tact, her perseverance, her righteous legislation, she is the most successful coloniser of all the nations. For she has, on a whole, relied more on the powers of good fellowship with these peoples than on the use of force and fear.

(2) She responds, before all the old nations of Europe, to the more generous impulses of humanity, and has been looked to generally as the defender of the rights of the feeble and the champion of liberty.

(3) She has enshrined, manifested by unnumbered examples of great heroism, and kept alive in her national genius the primal Christ doctrine of self-sacrifice, and to-day, among the English-speaking peoples, the most erudite and advanced minds and souls are, at least students of the doctrines of the great Christ, who is the Love of God.

Now, if only she will be true and faithful to this, the best genius of her soul, doing the Will of God in denying all greed, by freely sharing her world-wide dominions with these brother peoples, who also need and have a right equal with her right to their share of these lands, she will live to do her great work for the redemption of the whole earth.

Yes, if only she will do the will of Love, and so fulfil the holy law of her true being, she will become in very truth the leader of the peoples, the honoured of heaven and earth, the Queen-Mother of the nations. She will realise her high destiny.

But there is the great risk that the virus of Greed may even yet, notwithstanding her hard lessons of its

baneful fruit in the past, so poison her mind and soul that she shall again fail of her heavenly mission.

This we can say with the understanding of an unbiassed judgment.

For we have not failed, when there was need, to speak and write, and in as public a mode as was possible to us, a rebuke of her iniquities.

And we affirm that, if, through any psychic infirmity, she falls now from her God-ordained mission, assuredly she shall fall as a nation; she shall not stand as the leader of the peoples in God.

Again we say—and we would that this word could be heard throughout our beloved Empire—only by fulfilling her Divine mission, only by doing now what to her is the will of God, can she stand firm and strong, can she long endure as a power of Righteousness on this earth.

* * * * *

That she will thus stand, I doubt not. That even now the true national genius of the enduring spiritual kernel of our people is Christly in tone and in hidden potentiality, I am glad, glad to recognise.

For in these days I have gone much among various groups and Societies of working men, and I have noticed that, sure as the note of Brotherhood has been clearly and well-sounded by the speaker, so surely have these men responded with a hearty cheer. And in that British cheer my heart has leapt for very joy, for I have heard in it the sound of the voice of the new Humanity, the note we have so long listened for, agonised for, yearned for, ay, the fore-uttering of the word of the Christ who is to be.

From the same groups, whence only twelve years ago arose the cries of hate and the yells of vengeance, now

arises the willing recognition of their fellowship with their German brothers, and even a hearty response to the call for their outstretched hand towards these their comrades in life. Surely, surely this is the coming of the Christ of God in new power among us, and surely, surely it means, to all who can read the future, that it is well, well, well with our beloved land, and well with our earth.

I will overthrow, overthrow, overthrow,
And behold, I make all things new.

THE SONG of the REDEMPTION of the WORLD-SOUL from all her iniquities through the POWER of the GREAT LOVE.

"When you see these things come upon the earth, then lift up your heads and be glad, for your redemption draweth nigh."—Jesus Christ.

We cannot do otherwise than sing the Song of Salvation, because in God we do love all these combat-ing peoples equally and well, and we know that through all this tribulation their redemption, surely, is coming.

In great times, verily, we are living, and right glad are we to be incarnate on this earth now, even in these bodies and souls of suffering.

So glad are we, in the innermost deep of our being, even while the heart of the outer nature is hourly pierced with sorrow and wellnigh torn unto death in the pains of our world-anguish, that we cannot but sing once more, as we sang in our early youth, the Song of our Great Redemption from the powers of death:

In These she labours groaning deep
And silent for the coming morn;
And Thou the tears of Love dost weep
Over the ages newly born.

So sang we over thirty years ago in the Prologue to *The Opening of the Gates*, and so sing we now, and the note of our vision is to us, at least, more clear and sure than it was then.

For these days are great with the burden of the coming Day. Our times are heavy with the child of the future. And this child is the new Humanity, the Son of Man, the fruit of the love of the universal man, the higher type of our race, to whom the brotherhood of man will be as natural a fact of its most ordinary existence as will be its simple joy in the breaths of the sun and the airs of heaven.

And strange though it may seem for us to say so, yet do we know well, that the world-wide struggle of our womanhood for the liberation of her great wealth in the powers of the very services we most need in these times, and the present terrible conflict of Europe for her emancipation from the bondage of materialism, manifest to us most poignantly in these days as militarism (and in this bondage, no nation can point the finger of rebuke at another), arise from the same holy daimonic urge of the great Genius of our race, and are essentially one in aim, in labour, in power, and in sure triumph and issue.

For even while we sing our Song of the Ages, She, the Holy Christ-Mother, labours in the travail of God for the birth of the era of Peace. She, the Soul of the Divine Compassion, She, the Love of God, agonises in the bringing forth of the new day of the Son of God, the strong Saviour, the Redeemer of our world-soul from hoary death and the powers of hell.

For Divine though she be, yet is she also human, even our Earth-Mother in God.

And we know She labours in God, we know She weeps the tears of the agony of the Great Love over the age now being born into our world-consciousness.

For we, even we, her little children, have ceased not, ay, ceased not day nor night, to labour in her travail, to agonise in the throes of her struggle, to weep in her weeping the tears of the hidden soul.

Yes, long years have we wept with her these tears, seen of no one, seen only of the All-seeing Eye.

And so we know that we weep with her. And so we know that we shall soon, even before many days, rejoice with her, for that a beautiful One has been born into our degree and unto our need.

Yes, One beautiful in God, One great in the ageless Divinity of the future, One strong in the new Love, mighty to bear the burden of man, on whom is already laid the load of our infirmity, even One, as sang our well-beloved in the past ages, "mighty to save".

* * * * *

And you, my sister in Life, and you my brother in God, can even now help the Christ-Mother of our Earth to bring forth the beautiful One.

Yes, I tell you, my fellow in service, my comrade in the tribulation of the soul of the Great Compassionate, you can help in this present deliverance of the ages.

And now we will tell you how you can thus work with God.

(1) By jealously keeping the great Peace of God in your Heart-centre, and willing ever, and evermore willing, peace to every soul.

(2) By carefully guarding the Holy Love of God in your soul, and so, persisting in loving all souls equally

and well—even German as Briton, black skin as white, alien as your nearest kindred.

(3) By fighting in the silent deep of your own hidden nature, daily, hourly, ay, it may be of need, momentarily, this good fight of a true faith in the unlimited blessedness of the God-Love, even at this time when it seems to be the last thing our human soul could produce, or our earth-nature realise. For this is the none other than the fight of God, and thus do we fight with God in the good fight of Life. Thus you do actually aid in the great work of the Good Mother of our Days. And you do so now. I tell you I know this is so, and further, I do not believe it to be too much for our Faith to say, that by so possessing your God-Peace in your soul now, you do your part even to advance the realisation of the great work of the Holy Love. You, in a way, hasten the coming of the Christ who is to be, by thus keeping open the way of life to the Blessed in your own heart.

And even as we all work together for this end, you in me and I in you, and the Christ of the Ages of our Race in us all, and we all in the Holy One of our Blessedness, so are we crying continually out of the soundless deep of our humanity: Even so, come quickly; even so come, O Lord, our Christ, our God, we await Thy Coming.

J. L. McBeth Bain

CORRESPONDENCE

TO THE EDITOR OF "THE THEOSOPHIST"

The point of view of another is always more or less difficult to compass—generally more! So frequently it is impossible—as, for instance, in the September "Watch-Tower" notes when we read the Editor's rather alarming statement, "I think that my entire willingness that anyone should think as he pleases, and speak as he pleases on all public matters, is an idiosyncrasy. . . ." Thank heaven for that dear "idiosyncrasy"—(which most decidedly it is *not*, but the personality's response to a high conception of duty, splendidly spontaneous and self-revealing). If Theosophical teachers and Editors do not stand for that then let us alter our Society's motto and reduce the altitude of our ideals. The freedom of speech on the part of the Editor has made the Watch-Tower notes the most eagerly-read part of THE THEOSOPHIST. Her point of view, whether we can compass it or not, what it means to us! To limit its expression is to rob us of something we value more highly than our personal feelings. Surely, Mr. Van Manen raised a very unsubstantial bogey, when he pleaded tender political feelings as a reason for restraining the perfect freedom of Presidential utterances. Indeed, his Kruger-Chamberlain illustration was most unfortunate, as subsequent events have amply proved. Mr. Van Manen's "nasty taste" was begotten by deliberate influence, which was neither British nor Dutch, and so far as he and those who were misled with him are concerned that influence seems admirably to have achieved its purpose. But what of the people most concerned—the Boers themselves? Their action in connection with the present war is no uncertain rejoinder to slanders of an earlier day.

Surely, *because* THE THEOSOPHIST is international the political susceptibilities of its readers are not to deter any individual from expressing things honestly believed. A fig for such pandering to weakness in character!—for is it anything less? I speak feelingly. I am a most ardent lover of Australia, and the Editor has upon occasion said things of Australian political expediency which have hurt my susceptibilities most deeply. Probably she will repeat them again and again in the future, and if so those same susceptibilities will be hurt more than ever. They are not going to be hurt any the less because I quite expect the rod to fall. Do then I wish the Editor to be prevented from saying those inevitably painful things? Not a bit of it? It is more to me that she should freely express herself than that national “prejudice” should be respected. If we cannot *in the pages of our own leading magazine* apply Theosophy and Occultism to the solution of political problems which arise in particular nations, then we have been long making claims which we are not prepared to live up to. In her determination to remain silent about subjects on which nationality will influence the point of view taken, I believe, with all due respect, the Editor is (a) deferring to a very inconsiderable minority in the Society, and (b) robbing the magazine and its readers of valued matter. Ideal citizenship and the higher patriotism loom very large in Theosophical thought just now, and in this trying transitional period the views and opinions of one who has drawn clear of the “rock,” are of particular value to us. Personally I am a very touchy individual where national susceptibilities are concerned, but I offer those feelings to the Editor as Sir Walter Raleigh offered his cloak to Queen Elizabeth. And I am sure the great majority of readers of THE THEOSOPHIST in every country are as ready as I to do the same—Mr. Van Manen’s bogey notwithstanding!

HAROLD G. OLIFENT

Adelaide, S. Australia

REVIEWS

Kashmir Shaivism, by J. C. Chatterji, B.A. (Cantab.), Vidyāvāridhi. (The Kashmir Series of Texts and Studies, Vol. II, Fasciculus 1.) Srinagar, 1914.¹ (Price Rs. 1-10)

This is the first part of a book, by Mr. J. C. Chatterji (Director of the Research Department, Jammu and Kashmir State), on the system of philosophy peculiar to Kashmir, and the book is the first ever written on the subject.

The volume before us falls into two sections, the first (pp. 1-40) dealing with the history and literature, and the second (pp. 41-166) with the metaphysics of the system. The ethics seems to be reserved for the second part of the work, which has not yet appeared.

Scholars will specially welcome the first section. For, although the existence of the Trika literature and many particulars on it have been known since the days of Buhler, great uncertainty has obtained until now with regard to the date and mutual relationship of the authors concerned. The principal error was (and Buhler is responsible for it) that the Spanda and Pratyabhijñā Shāstras are to be regarded as two different systems. It is now clear, thanks to Mr. Chatterji, that there is no fundamental difference between the two (such as, e.g., the atheism and theism, respectively, of the two Sāmkhya systems) but that they are merely two aspects of one and the same system, the Spanda Shāstra being, so to speak, the theological, and the Pratyabhijñā Shāstra the philosophical exposition of the Shiva Sūtras of Vasugupta. Already before Vasugupta, who lived in the ninth century A.D., there was a Shaiva literature in Kashmir, namely the Shaiva Tantras, but these taught for the most part a dualist doctrine or were at least interpreted in this sense, and it was just to replace this dualism by an idealistic monism that the Shiva Sūtras are said to have been revealed to Vasugupta. Vasugupta, as pointed out, was followed by two lines of disciples : on the one hand Kallaṭa,

¹ Obtainable at the THEOSOPHICAL PUBLISHING HOUSE, Adyar, Madras, India.


the author of the Spanda Sūtras, and his successors (Utpala Vaiṣṇava, Rāmakantha); on the other hand Somānanda, the author of Shivadr̥ṣṭi (of which now fragments only are available), and his followers (Utpala, Lakṣhmaṇa, Abhinavagupta); until finally the two lines were united, as it were, by the activity of Kṣhemarāja, the pupil of Abhinavagupta, who contributed to both the Spanda and the Pratyabhijñā Shāstra, all subsequent writers adopting more or less the Pratyabhijñā method. The monistic idealism of Vasugupta, although in reality opposed to that of the Tantras, was not believed to be so by his followers who, on the contrary, wrote commentaries on some of them from the idealistic point of view. Hence the Shaiva Tantras came to be included in the Trika literature and reckoned, together with the Shiva Sūtras, as the Āgama Shāstra, or revealed portion of that literature, as distinguished from the Spanda and Pratyabhijñā Shāstras, both of which comprise only works of human authorship. The name Trika (triad), however, by which this whole literature is understood, does not refer to the three "Shāstras" of which it is composed, but appears to have been coined with reference to that metaphysical triad taught by all Shaiva systems, namely, the individual (*pashu*), the principle of bondage (*pāsha*), and the redeeming principle (*shiva*).

Mr. Chatterji has resisted the temptation to trace the history of Shaivism before the time of Vasugupta, and he has also refrained from discussing the mutual relationship of the several Shaiva systems. But though the book is a mere outline, a few hints on those questions are no doubt expected by most readers. It appears that the Shaiva Tantras are the common source of all those systems. But, while some of them, such as the Mṛgendra, are familiar to both the Kashmiri and the South Indian Shaivas, others often mentioned on the one side are conspicuous by their absence on the other. Possibly this has something to do with the more dualistic or more monistic character, respectively, of the several Tantras. It has been asserted that the Shaiva Siddhānta of the South is a mere copy of the Kashmir philosophy, but also the opposite view has been advanced. So far as we can see, there is no stringent reason for doubting that the two systems have originated independently, *viz.*, from the Āgama Shāstra as their common source.

The second section of our book deals with the main doctrines of the Trika philosophy. This is again a very lucid exposition, similar in plan and execution to the theoretical part of *Hindu Realism*. The one formal mistake which has been committed is the omission of a heading on page 141; the resume of the whole system, which here begins, appearing erroneously as a part of the chapter (8th) on the Principles of Materiality (pp. 129 *et seq.*).

The philosophy of the Trika, like that of the other Shaiva systems, is a synthesis of those renowned two older systems which have exercised such a powerful influence all over India: the realist Sāmkhya and the idealistic Vedānta. But while the Sāmkhya has been taken over almost unchanged, the Vedāntic storey which has been added to it shows a remarkable alteration and a real progress of philosophical thought. We will have a look successively at the two storeys, beginning, however, not with the higher one, as Mr. Chatterji does, but with the lower one, because it seems to be more convenient to proceed from the known to the unknown and from the natural to the supernatural.

The Sāmkhya philosophy is a metaphysical realism in that it asserts that Nature (*prakṛti*) is a real and independent principle by the side of Spirit (*puruṣa*); epistemologically, however, it teaches idealism, because it derives the whole of the individual world, subject and object, from the "I-maker" (*aḥamkāra*), the principle of the ego, which is a product not of the Spirit but of Primordial Nature, the only role of the Spirit being to endow with consciousness what is in itself unconscious, *i.e.*, to "illuminate" Nature. The picture of evolution, according to the Sāmkhya, looks as follows:



These, together with the Puruṣha (Spirit), who makes creation possible by "shining" on Nature but is otherwise a mere "spectator," are the renowned 25 Sāṃkhya principles so often mentioned in Indian literature. From Buddhi downwards, *i.e.*, so far as the "Manifest Nature" (*vyakta*) is concerned, these are practically the same in the Trika as in the Sāṃkhya, for which reason Mr. Chatterji has chosen to base his account of these 23 principles on both the Sāṃkhya and the Trika literature. This detailed account (pp. 93 to 141) is decidedly ingenious and of great value to students of the two systems, but we cannot get rid of the impression that the author's imagination has played too great a part in it. For instance, the supposed parallelism between the Tanmātras and the Karmendriyas (for which, moreover, not a single reference is adduced) seems to us highly artificial. There is undoubtedly a connection between sound and speech and also between feeling (touch) and the power to handle, but the connection of sight (to the exclusion of other senses) with the power of locomotion is less palpable, and one fails altogether to understand why flavour should produce a tendency to discard (rather than one to enjoy), and odour a tendency to enjoy (rather than one to discard). In the classical Sāṃkhya, so far as we remember, the Tanmātras are connected with the Buddhindriyas but not with the Karmendriyas. It was a happy idea to compare the two classes of Indriyas (Buddhindriyas and Karmendriyas), in reference to their physical manifestations, with the sensory and motor nervous systems, and also the rendering of *śabda-tānmātra* by "sound-as-such," *rūpa-tānmātra* by "colour-as-such," etc., is quite acceptable.¹ Special attention has been devoted, and rightly so, to the explanation of the several functions of Buddhi, Ahamkāra, and Manas, constituting the so-called "Inner Organ". The principal operation of the Manas is the cutting of an image out of the manifold given by some sense-perception, that of the Ahamkāra the substantiation of that image (which is, before that act, two-dimensional only) by relating it to one's self and one's experiences, and that of the Buddhi the referring of the image thus substantiated to a general class such as cow, tree, house, etc. The *raison d'être* of these three

¹ "Feel-as-such," however, is perhaps, a little too vague.

organs is that, just as the perceptions are mediated by the senses, so those three classes of physical operations are also rendered possible by corresponding instruments.¹ The Tan-mātras or primary elements of perception are said to be the general notions of (undifferentiated) sound, colour, etc., which arise inevitably together with the Indriyas of perception, and which must be different from the generals of the Buddhi because in regard to the latter they are only particulars (e.g., of the general notions cow, tree, etc.). It would seem, then, that the generals of the Buddhi do not cover all abstract ideas, and that consequently the usual definition of Buddhi as "the power to form abstract ideas" is too comprehensive. The last step in the process of evolution is what Mr. Chatterji calls the "Materialisation of the Soul," i.e., the rise of variety within the several primary elements, e.g., of a variety of sounds within the uniform "Sound-as-such," and, at the same time and from the same source, of the five so-called gross elements,² which are in reality nothing but experiences necessarily accompanying that of the said variety, namely the experience of "ethereality," "aeriality," "formativity," "liquidity," and "solidity" (to use Mr. Chatterji's translations of those terms). Here again the parallelism (though of Vaidic authority) is of a somewhat questionable nature, and Mr. Chatterji's explanation rather fanciful.

Now, in order to make of this system a suitable groundwork for the intended Vedāntic superstructure, two stones in it had to be altered, namely the two foundation-stones called Puruṣha and Prakṛti. The Sāṃkhya philosophy does not recognize any higher principle than these two, and it accounts for the world-process by their co-operation, which is likened to that of the lame man and the blind man. But, while there is only one Prakṛti, there are innumerable Puruṣhas; and

¹ This, of course does not explain why there must be a special instrument for each of the three classes.

² According to Mr. Chatterji the name Bhūtas is to be explained as "the ever 'Have Beens,' and never 'Ares,' or the Ghosts, namely, of the Real," and he refers for texts bearing on them to one of his unpublished Appendices. Whatever the Trika explanation of *Bhūta* may be, the original meaning of the term and that accepted by the Sāṃkhya is undoubtedly "become, produced," the Bhūtas being called so as the ultimate and most tangible product of Prakṛti.

while the Absolute of Vedāntism has been banished from that system, still Its attributes have been transferred to the Puruṣhas all of whom are omnipresent, unchangeable, unaffected by nature, etc. This dualism, then, is made to pass into monism, in the Trika, by the statement that both Prakṛti and the Puruṣhas are products of a force of obscuration called Māyā; that the Puruṣhas are not *vibhu* (omnipresent) but *aṇu* (atomic), non-spatial points as it were;¹ that they are subject to time and other limitations; and that there is not one Prakṛti but many, one, indeed, for each Puruṣha.²

We are now coming to the doctrines which are peculiar to the Shaiva systems or to the Trika alone.


The doctrine of the five or, including Māyā, six Kañchukas "enwrapping" the Soul so as to make it the individual limited being known as Puruṣha, is common to the Shaiva systems. The five limitations are easily understood in the way Mr. Chatterji has explained them, namely, as the counterpart of five perfections attributed to the Spirit (God) before it becomes limited. These perfections and their counterparts are; (1) Eternity, and Time (*kāla*); (2) All-pervasiveness, and Restriction (*niyati*), viz., in regard to presence in space; (3) All-interestedness, All-satisfaction (lit.: "Fullness"), and Limited Interest (*rāga*); (4) All-consciousness, Omniscience, and Limited Consciousness (*vidyā*); (5) All-authorship, and Limited Authorship (*kalā*).

So far we have dealt with what is technically called the "Impure Path" (*ashuddha adhvan*) of creation, i.e., with that part of the system of evolution which deals with the Limited Individual Experience. There remains the higher part which is engaged in the Universal Experience. These very first and loftiest beginnings of the manifestation of life lie, it must be remembered, before the origination of time, which comes into existence with Māyā only. That is to say: they are not really what we call a process and cannot, properly speaking, be described at all. Still the Trika holds that we can obtain some

¹ Because the omnipresent something of which they are a limitation (see below) is itself non-spatial.

² In pre-classical Sāṃkhya also (which may or may not have been used by the Trika) the Puruṣhas were believed to be *aṇu*, according to Professor Garbe's conjecture.

idea of them, vague though it be, by picturing this first stage or these first stages in about the following way :



The meaning of this is that Parama Shiva, the innermost and true Self of every being and everything, reveals Himself¹ as the Universe by means of the five principal aspects of His Shakti or power of Self-Revelation. Only after Sad-Vidyā has emerged, the Universal Spirit becomes limited by the influence of Māyā, the obscuring Force. All the preceding stages, therefore, represent, so to speak, the awakening of a consciousness, in the Experiencer, of macrocosmic existence; and they can be formularised, according to Mr. Chatterji, in the following manner: whereas Shiva has the experience of merely the "I," and Shakti of merely the "am," Sādākhya denotes the consciousness "I am," i.e., "I am this," and it is followed by the Aishvara consciousness. "This am I" and the latter by the Sad-Vidyā experience: "I am all-this and all-this is mine as part and parcel of myself."

¹ Though the name Parama Shiva is masculine, the principle referred to is in reality "neither He, She, nor It" (p. 42).

The Sādākhya and Aishvara Tattvas differ in that in the former the attention is drawn chiefly to the "I"-side and in the latter chiefly to the "this"-side, a true comparison between the two aspects, *i.e.*, a fully conscious antithesis of subject and object, being possible in the Sad-Vidyā state only.

Shiva and Shakti are not included in the Shuddha Adhvan, because "they do not disappear in Pralaya but remain in the bosom of Parama Shiva as the seed of the Universe to come". Shiva is the pure I "without even a trace of the notion or feeling of a Universe in the experience"; Shakti (sometimes omitted, because inseparable from and rather one with Shiva) is that aspect of the Divine (Highest) Shakti which, as the "Principle of Restraint," "negatives the supremely ideal Universe".

Mr. Chatterji has not made it quite clear what he understands by "the supremely ideal Universe" which is the object of Parā Samvit, "the Supreme Experience," *i.e.*, Parama Shiva. It would seem that we cannot speak of a Universe where there is no plurality, and much less where even the duality of Shiva-Shakti is not felt as such. Still, evidently nothing else can be meant by the said expression (for which there seems to be no Samskrit equivalent) than the Divine Shakti with its latent duality of Shiva-Shakti as the eternal root of the infinite series of Universes.

Another item about which we are left in the dark is whence the Force of obscuration called Māyā originates. We learn that it comes into play after the appearance of Sad-Vidyā, but does it spring from Sad-Vidyā, and what is its connection with the Shaktis?

The 36 principles or Tattvas up to Shiva-Shakti (reckoned as two) are common to the Shaiva systems, though there is some difference among them as to the explanation of Shiva-Shakti and the Shuddha Adhvan.

Only by ascending to "that which is beyond the Tattvas" (tattvātita), do we arrive at last at the real, fundamental difference of the Kashmir Trika system from its relatives. This difference consists in the theory of Ābhāsa, which has here taken the place of the Vivarta of the Advaita Vedānta. As a matter of fact the Trika is the only one of the Shaiva systems which can

be rightly called an idealistic monism. For it is the only Shaiva school which teaches not only that the world is an "appearance" (*ābhāsa*), in God, of delusive plurality (*bheda*), but also that the soul, in Liberation, becomes actually one with God (recognises itself as God). But, unlike Shankarāchārya and his followers, the teachers of the *Ābhāsa* process hold that the appearances (declared by the former to be neither real nor unreal) are real in a certain sense, namely, as representatives, in our consciousness, of the experience of the Real, *i.e.*, Parama Shiva. By *ābhāsa* or "shining out" is meant "a process which, while bringing the product into existence, leaves the source of the product unchanged". Mr. Chatterji cleverly points out quite a number of phenomena (such as the reproduction of life in the process of generation), showing that such a process, though indeed beyond our grasp, is not unknown to science. He also calls attention, in this connection, to the well-known *Shānti Pūrṇam adaḥ pūrṇam idam*, etc., from which it is evident that the knowledge of God being both transcendent and immanent is older than any of the classical systems.

To return to the connecting link between the two storeys of the Trika, *viz.*, the *Kañchukas*, it must be admitted to our author that they betray a considerable philosophical insight, their place in the Trika being much the same as that of "the forms of perception and conception" in the philosophy of Kant. But Mr. Chatterji evidently goes too far in stating that they agree with the latter "not only in essence but, to a great extent, in details also". The only real agreement, so far as we can see, is that between *Kāla* and Kant's Category of Time. Nor do we think that the theory of the *Kañchukas* gives us the slightest right to belittle the discovery of Kant, as Mr. Chatterji does in his long sigh on p. 161. On the contrary, considering that the 36 *Tattvas* (including the *Kañchukas*) are of *Āgāmic*, *i.e.*, superhuman origin, we must the more admire the man who alone of mortals found by strict logical thinking the very same truth, and who alone was able to give it that truly philosophical expression without which it would have remained as unnoticed in Europe as the Trika has been in India.

There is one more point in this connection—the linking together of the two storeys—which calls for special attention, *viz.*, the position of the *Buddhi*. The *Buddhi* of the

Trika is something more than that of the Sāṃkhya : it is not an absolutely first experience, but rather "the *memory* of the Universal 'All-this' which formed the Experience of the Shuddha-Vidyā, but afterwards changed into a dim and indefinite 'Something' in the Puruṣa-Prakṛti stage" (p. 105); it is "a glorious *vision* of ideas" (p. 106).

It is not quite easy to judge the merit of a book like the present one where the original texts on which it is based are referred to but occasionally, most references being reserved for the many appendices which have not appeared yet. We confess that we do not like this method of giving first the results and then, at a later date, the materials on which they are based. Standard works on Indian philosophy, such as Deussen's *System of the Vedānta*, show that it is quite possible and decidedly more profitable to incorporate in the book itself all references of some importance. However, from what we know about Mr. Chatterji's works, we have every reason to believe that the favourable impression we have obtained of his new book will remain unaltered by the publication of the appendices.

Before concluding, we cannot help mentioning one little objection we have to the title of the book. The word "Shaivism" has as little chance of ever meeting with general approval as have for instance "Buddhism" and "Jainism," if somebody should try to introduce them. In Samskrit we have *buddha* and the corresponding adjective *bauddha* ("referring to the Buddha, Buddhist, Buddhism"), and similarly *jina* and *jaina*, *shiva* and *shaiva*, *viṣṇu* and *vaiṣṇava*. In English, consequently, we should form *either* Buddhism, Jinism, Shivism, Viṣṇuism, *or* (rather) Bauddhism, Jainism, Shaivism, Vaiṣṇavism. Two only of these eight forms, *viz.* Buddhism and Jainism, may now be regarded as definitively accepted by the language, and so may be considered the adjectives Buddhist and Jain; as to the rest, however (Viṣṇuism, Viṣṇuism, Viṣṇuite, Vaiṣṇavite, Shivaism, Shivaite, Shaivite, etc.), no agreement has so far been reached, but the best would undoubtedly be to follow the example of Jainism and Jain (Jaina), *i.e.*, to give preference henceforth to the substantives Vaiṣṇavism and Shaivism and the adjectives Vaiṣṇava and Shaiva.

F. O. S.

SUPPLEMENT TO THE THEOSOPHIST

FINANCIAL STATEMENT

THE THEOSOPHICAL SOCIETY

The following receipts from 11th October to 10th November 1914, are acknowledged with thanks :

ANNUAL DUES AND ADMISSION FEES

	Rs.	A.	P.
Mr. C. A. Sutherland Russ, Hong-Kong, for 1914 ...	18	12	0
Mr. Frank S. Gread & Mr. T. Carmichael, in Sitiamaui, for 1914	37	8	0
Mr. Percy Proctor, Brisbane, £1, for 1914 ...	15	0	0
Scottish Section, T. S., for 1914	227	8	0
Italian Section, T. S., for 1914 (£9. 17s. 10d.) ...	146	0	4
Dutch East Indies, T. S., for 1914	312	0	0
Swiss Section, T. S., for 1914 (£6. 4s. 9d.) ...	92	13	6
Australian Section, Balance of dues (£15. 18s. 8d.)	239	0	0
	<hr/> Rs. 1,088 9 10 <hr/>		

A. SCHWARZ,

Hon. Treasurer, T. S.

ADYAR, 10th November 1914.

1914 SUPPLEMENT TO THE THEOSOPHIST

v

OLCOTT PAÑCHAMA FREE SCHOOLS

FINANCIAL STATEMENT

The following receipts from 11th October to 10th November 1914, are acknowledged with thanks :

DONATIONS

						Rs.	A.	P.
'A Friend'	50	0	0

A. SCHWARZ,

Hon. Secretary and Treasurer, O. P. F. S.

ADYAR, 10th November 1914.

Printer: Annie Besant: Vasanṭā Press, Adyar, Madras.
 Publishers: Theosophical Publishing House, Adyar, Madras, India.

Supplement to this Issue

Theosophical Publishing House

ADYAR, MADRAS, INDIA

CIRCULAR, DECEMBER 1914

OUR NEW PUBLICATIONS

The following have been issued during the month of November :—

PICTURES OF BUDDHIST CEYLON

AND OTHER PAPERS

By F. L. WOODWARD, M.A.

7½"×5". Cloth. Pages 63

Eight Full-page Illustrations.

Price: Rs. 2 or 2s. 6d. or 65c. *Postage Extra.*

This volume, illustrated carefully from photographs, describes various chapters in the life of the Buddhist Monks of Ceylon. No one who reads "A Buddhist Sabbath," or "Olcott Day in Galle" can fail to perceive how deeply the writer is steeped in the spirit of the country, and how faithfully he reveals the soul of a people. What Mr. Fielding Hall has done for Burma, Mr. Woodward should be able to accomplish for Ceylon. Among the "other papers" is a reverie entitled "At the Foot of a Tree," of which, for beautiful language and harmony of expression, it would be difficult to find the equal.

CONCENTRATION :

A PRACTICAL COURSE

(*Second Impression*)

By ERNEST WOOD

7½"×5". Wrapper. Pages 98

Price : As. 6 or 6d or 12c.

Postage India ½ Anna, Foreign 1d. or 2c.

This reprint of Mr. Wood's little book is very welcome. It contains valuable and practical hints for attaining the habit of concentration, yet there is nothing that can in any way injure one in the process towards such attainment. The book has won for itself in a short time great popularity. It is clearly written, and seems to be found a very useful guide.

THE YOUNG CITIZEN

VOL. II

(NOVEMBER)

No. 11

Edited by ANNIE BESANT

9½"×6½". Wrapper. Pages 32.

Price : Single Copy : India As. 3; Foreign 4d. *Post free.*

Yearly : India Rs. 1-4; Foreign 2s. 6d. or 65c. *Post free.*

CONTENTS : 'From the Front,' by Annie Besant; 'The Comte de S. Germain,' by J. I. Wedgwood; 'The Cartago Earthquake of 1910,' by One Present; 'The Three Little Casks,' by Marie Bermond; 'The Fly and the Mosquito,' by A. J. W.; 'The Legend of Saint Valentine,' by Elizabeth Harrison; 'Some Lessons to be learnt To-day,' by M. Florence Tiddeman; 'The Theosophical Educational Trust.'

THE ADYAR BULLETIN

A THEOSOPHICAL JOURNAL FOR EAST AND WEST

VOL. VII

(NOVEMBER)

No. 11

Edited by ANNIE BESANT

9½"×6½". Wrapper. Pages 32.

Price : As. 4 or 4d. or 8c. *Post free.*

Annual Subscription : Rs. 2 or 3s. or 75c. *Post free.*

CONTENTS: 'From the Editor'; 'The Value of Theosophy,' A Lecture by Annie Besant; 'Theosophical Terminology,' by Fritz Kunz; 'The Soul's Desire' (Poem), by Eva M. Martin; 'From Twilight to Dawn,' by J. I. Wedgwood; 'Trade-Unionism and Theosophy' (Correspondence).

THE COMMONWEAL

A WEEKLY JOURNAL OF NATIONAL REFORM

Edited by ANNIE BESANT

Single Copy, India: As. 2. *Postage extra*; Foreign, 3d. or 6c. *Post free*.

India: Yearly, Rs. 6; Half-yearly, Rs. 3-8; Quarterly, Rs. 2; Foreign: Yearly, 10s. 6d. *Post free*.

PRINCIPAL CONTENTS:—

No. 43.—The Russians; The Danger of Submarines; The Congress, by Annie Besant; Economics and Religion, by Prof. V. G. Kale, M.A.; The "White Australia" Policy, by Justin C. MacCartie; The Course of the War, by H. N. Brailsford.

No. 44.—Spying as a Fine Art; The Crying Need for India, by M. U. Moore, M.A., Principal, Zamorins' College, Calicut; Deaf Mutes in India, by M. S. K.; Towards Mecca: The Hajj, by S. A. B.; The Duration of the War, by H. N. Brailsford.

No. 45.—Portugal; The Cauvery Arbitration, by Dr. Sir S. Subramania Iyer, K.C.I.E.; The New Russia, by A. L. Pogosky; The Hope for Russia, by H. N. Brailsford; Schoolboys and Character, by A Retired Head Master.

No. 46.—Railways in India; Education by the Rev. E. B. Hill; The Caucasus; A Case of Perversion, by Hope Rea; Indian Nationalism, by Ernest Wood; The Fate of Belgium, by H. N. Brailsford.

No. 47.—The National Congress, by Annie Besant; State Aid and Co-operation in India; The Use of Gunpowder in Ancient India, by V. Rangachari, M.A.; The Making of Europe, by H. N. Brailsford; The Future of Europe, by Jasper Smith.

BUDDHISM

By DR. F. OTTO SCHRÄDER

No. 47 of *The Adyar Pamphlets Series*

7½" X 5". Strong Wrapper. Pages 29.

Price: As. 2 or 2d. or 4c.

Postage: India ½ Anna; Foreign ½d. or 1c.

Annual Subscription: Rs. 1-8 or 2s. or 50c. *Post free*.

In this pamphlet is contained a brief sketch of the life of the Buddha and the religion which He founded, having especial reference to the doctrine of the *anatta* (anātman) or Not-Self, so often misunderstood. Coming from the pen of such an eminent Orientalist as Dr. Schröder, the information given may be accepted as the outcome of the latest research.

THE THEOSOPHIST

VOL. XXXVI

(DECEMBER)

No. 3

Edited by ANNIE BESANT

9½"×6½". Handsome Wrapper in Blue and Silver. Pages 96.
 Price: As. 12 or 1s. 3d. or 25c. *Post free.*
 Yearly: Rs. 8 or 12s. or \$3. *Post free.*

CONTENTS: 'On the Watch-Tower'; 'The Religion of Richard Wagner,' by Clement Antrobus Harris; 'The Spirit of Theosophy,' by L. W. Rogers; 'An Outline of Essenism,' by Dr. Raimond van Marle; Good Friday Cere-
 monial,' by Rev. F. S. Montagu Powell, M.A.; 'The Occultist and The Mystic,' by E. A. Wodehouse, M.A.; 'Freedom in Bondage' (Poem), by Eva M. Martin; 'Politics and Theosophy,' by T. H. Martyn; 'War and The Great Peace,' by James L. McBeth Bain; Cor-
 respondence; Reviews; Supplement.

OUR FORTHCOMING PUBLICATIONS

MEMORY TRAINING: A PRACTICAL COURSE

By ERNEST WOOD.

THE BHAGAVAD-GITA

TEXT IN DEVANAGARI

THE VASANTĀ PRESS, ADYAR, MADRAS.

VADE-MECUM

TO

MAN : WHENCE, HOW AND WHITHER

WITH A MAP

By A. Schwarz

As. 6 or 6d. or 12c.

This little book will prove an invaluable aid to the study of the monumental work—*Man : Whence, How and Whither*. Throughout there are illuminating references to the *Inner Life*, Vol. II, and charts for our guidance as well as a map are included. Readers who remember *The Pedigree of Man* will also remember with gratitude the notes of Mr. Schwarz. He has done a similar service for us in tabulating and analysing the later researches of Mrs. Besant and Mr. Leadbeater.

Theosophical Publishing House, Adyar, Madras, India

VEGETARIAN BOARDING HOUSE

19 NORLAND SQUARE, HOLLAND PARK, LONDON, W.

Three minutes from Holland Park Tube Station. Easy access to Headquarters and the City. Short walk to Shepherd's Bush Exhibition.

TERMS MODERATE. LATE DINNER 7 P.M. or earlier.

The Misses Blackmore.

BOOKS BY G. S. ARUNDALE

The Growth of National Consciousness in the Light
of Theosophy

Boards Re. 1 or 1s. 6d. or 40c.

Cloth Rs. 1-8 or 2s. or 50c.

Brotherhood

As. 10 or 10d. or 20c.

Talks to a Few Students

As. 8 or 8d. or 16c.

Annie Besant

As. 8 or 8d. 16c.

The Way of Service

As. 6 or 6d. or 12c.

Theosophical Publishing House
ADYAR, MADRAS, INDIA

079887

Theosophical Publishing Society

New Books by Mrs. Besant

Mysticism

CONTENTS.—The Meaning and Method of Mysticism ; The God-Idea ; The Christ-Idea ; The Man-Idea ; Interpretations. Crown 8vo. Cloth 2s.6d. net. Post free 2s. 9d.

India and the Empire

Contains the lecture delivered in the Queen's Hall, London with articles contributed to *The Times* and other leading English papers during Mrs. Besant's visit this year. An important collection Wrappers 6d. net. Post free 7d.

"By the request of many European and American friends interested in the Divine Knowledge, I have written these few pages as an introduction to Sufism. . . since Sufism combines the Eastern qualities of faith and devotion with reason and logic, the characteristics of the West."

From the Preface to

Sufi Message of Spiritual Liberty, by Prof. Inayat Khan, with splendid illuminated portrait of the author. Demy 8vo. cloth, gilt top, 2s. 6d. net. Post free 2s. 9d.

A New Book by the Vice-President

In the Next World, by A. P. Sinnett, a book of narratives from some who have passed on. "Extraordinarily interesting and instructive." *Christian Commonwealth*. Crown 8vo. Cloth 1s. 6d. net. Post free 1s. 9d.

Dr. Rudolph Steiner's Latest Books in English

An Outline of Occult Science. His most important work. Demy 8vo. cloth. pp. xvi—469. 7s. 6d. net. Post free 7s. 10d., abroad 8s. 1d.

Three Essays on Haeckel and Karma. Of special scientific interest. Crown 8vo. Cloth 3s. 6d. net. Post free 3s. 9d.

CHEAP BUT IMPORTANT BOOKLETS

In wrappers at 6d. each. Post free 7d.

Patanjali for Western Readers. The Yoga Aphorisms of Patanjali paraphrased and modernised from various English translations and recensions by Daniel R. Stephen, M. A.

The Dionysus-Cult in its relation to Christianity ; as seen in the *Bacchæ* of Euripides, by George Seaver.

Things that have Happened ; being Personal Experiences in the Borderland, by Reginald B. Span.

Theosophical Publishing Society, 161 New Bond St., London, W.

Mrs. ANNIE BESANT

A SKETCH OF HER LIFE AND HER MANIFOLD SERVICES TO INDIA

Mrs. Annie Besant is perhaps the most widely-known woman in the world. Whether as Theosophist, Educationist or Sociologist, she has given the best years of her life to the cause of India and it is but fitting that her life should be told in these pages. Her manifold activities and services and her marvellous character and intellect are appreciated even by the most uncompromising critics. Her achievements have been proportionate to her untiring perseverance. For the last twenty-five years she has been particularly devoted to the cause of India and her services to her adopted country are universally appraised. A perusal of the contents of the sketch of her life, will give a fair idea of her many-sided interests and devotion.

CONTENTS.—Introductory: Early Life; Education; Marriage; Beginnings of Non-Belief; Charles Bradlaugh; Teacher of Atheism; The Knowlton Pamphlet; Malthusianism; India, 1878; Political and Literary Work; Views on Vivisection; First Contact with Theosophy: Socialism; H.P. Blavatsky; Mrs. Besant's Writings; Views on Indian Nationalism; The Central Hindu College; Female Education; Students and Politics; Swadeshi Movement; Imperialism and India; Mrs. Besant as a Speaker; "The Sons of India"; Mrs. Besant as President of the T.S.

64 Pages. With a Portrait. Price As. Four.

FOR INDIA'S UPLIFT A COLLECTION OF

Speeches and Writings on Indian Questions By Mrs. ANNIE BESANT

This is the first attempt to publish in one volume a comprehensive and exhaustive collection of Mrs. Besant's speeches and writings on Indian Questions.

CONTENTS.—The Ancient Ideal of Duty; Education as a National Duty; The Necessity for Religious Education; The Education of Hindu Youth; The Education of Indian Girls; Education of the Depressed Classes; The Protection of Animals; The Protection of Children; India's Awakening; The Indian Nation; The Aryan Type; England and India; Unrest in India; The Value of Theosophy in the Raising of India; The Work of the Theosophical Society in India; The Means of India's Regeneration.

PRICE AS. TWELVE

To Subscribers of The Indian Review As. Eight

ANNIE BESANT'S WORKS

The Bhagavad-Gita or the Lord's Song with the text in Devanagari and an English Translation by Mrs. Annie Besant. Third Edition. Price As. Two.

Nation-Building: A stirring appeal to Indians.—Suggestions for the Building of the Indian Nation; Education as the Basis of National Life; National Universities for India, by Mrs. Annie Besant. Price As. Two.

If you have not already seen "The Indian Review" please send a postage stamp for Annas Two for a free specimen copy. The Annual Subscription to the "Review" is Rs. 5 (five). Subscriptions can commence from any month. Any one who wishes to buy books at concession rates must remit Rs. 5, one year's subscription to the "Review" in advance. Those in arrears cannot have concession rates.

G. A. NATESAN & Co., Publishers, Madras

RIDER'S NEW PUBLICATIONS

LETTERS OF A LIVING DEAD MAN

STARTLING COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE OTHER WORLD

Written down by **ELSA BARKER**, Author of "The Son of Mary Bethel," etc. 309 pp. crown 8vo, cloth gilt, 3s. 6d. net or Rs. 2-12.

The communicant, who writes through the hand of a well-known American novelist, occupied in life a high position in the legal profession. He depicts himself as entering the spirit world as an explorer, seeking new fields of knowledge, and the report of his experiences is as refreshingly broad-minded as it is original and free from bias.

"Whatever the reader's personal opinions may be, he cannot do amiss in studying this remarkable series of letters, all intensely interesting."—*The Daily Citizen*.

"What a book of wonders this would be if only its information actually did emanate from the spirit of a departed human being—as it seriously claims to do."—*SHEFFIELD DAILY TELEGRAPH*.

The Problems of Psychical Research. By **HEREWARD CARRINGTON**. With Frontispiece. About 360 pp. cloth gilt, 7s. 6d. net or Rs. 5-12.

"We may fairly put his volume under the heading 'Science' and call attention to it, not as being occupied with a mass of the usual records, but as devoting serious consideration in a scientific temper to the real significance and character of spiritistic phenomena."—*The Times*.

Psycho-Therapy: Its Doctrine and Practice. By **ELIZABETH SEVERN**, PH.D. Crown 8vo. Cloth gilt, 3s. 6d. net or Rs. 2-12.

"Dr. Severn is a practical exponent of physical healing by mind methods, and has enjoyed great success."—*Review of Reviews*.

"An intensely interesting book on the power of mind upon the body and the cure of the body through means of prayer, suggestion and personal contact."—*The Tatler*.

The Kabala of Numbers. Part I. A Handbook dealing with the Traditional Interpretation of Numbers and their Predictive Value. By "SEPHARIAL," Author of "A Manual of Occultism," "Kabalistic Astrology," etc. 168pp. Crown 8vo, cloth gilt, 2s. net or Rs. 1-8.

"The Kabala of Numbers' is an astonishing book that takes you back, in spirit, even further than Homer or the 'Arabian Nights'."—*Manchester Guardian*.

"There is much to provoke thought in his generalisations on the philosophy of numbers."—*Birmingham Daily Post*.

Kabala of Numbers.—Part II. By "SEPHARIAL," Crown 8vo. Cloth 2s. 6d. net. or Rs. 2.

"The book is forcible and interesting in style, and opens up many fascinating vistas of thought and speculation."—*Oxford Chronicle*.

"A handbook dealing with the occult and prophetic powers of numbers... wonderfully interesting."—*Sheffield Daily Telegraph*.

Rider's Occult and New Thought Catalogue will be sent Post Free to any address
William Rider & Son, Ltd., 8-11, Paternoster Row, London, E. C.

THEOSOPHICAL PUBLISHING HOUSE, ADYAR, MADRAS, INDIA

ry
vn
cal
an
his
ee
do
ly
on
as
RD
d.
all
e
u
H
s,
ne
h
e.
ic
n
n.
y
n
g
.
s
l.

